

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DO OESTE DO PARANÁ – *CAMPUS*  
DE FRANCISCO BELTRÃO, CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS DA SAÚDE,  
PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO *STRICTO SENSU* EM  
CIÊNCIAS APLICADAS À SAÚDE – NÍVEL MESTRADO

**JULIANA ALEXSANDRA MACHADO ANDRÉ**

**SELEÇÃO DE BACTÉRIAS LÁCTICAS COM POTENCIAL  
PROBIÓTICO PROVENIENTES DE QUEIJO COLONIAL**

FRANCISCO BELTRÃO – PR  
(JULHO/2020)

**JULIANA ALEXSANDRA MACHADO ANDRÉ**

**SELEÇÃO DE BACTÉRIAS LÁCTICAS COM POTENCIAL  
PROBIÓTICO PROVENIENTES DE QUEIJO COLONIAL**

DISSERTAÇÃO apresentada ao Programa de Pós-graduação *Stricto Sensu* em Ciências Aplicadas à Saúde, nível Mestrado, do Centro de Ciências da Saúde, da Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, como requisito parcial para obtenção do título de Mestre em Ciências Aplicadas à Saúde.

Área de concentração: Ciências da Saúde.

Orientadora: Dra. Kérley Braga Pereira Bento Casaril.

FRANCISCO BELTRÃO – PR  
(JULHO/2020)

Ficha de identificação da obra elaborada através do Formulário de Geração Automática do Sistema de Bibliotecas da Unioeste.

André, Juliana Alexsandra Machado

Seleção de bactérias lácticas com potencial probiótico provenientes de queijo colonial / Juliana Alexsandra Machado André; orientador(a), Kérley Braga Pereira Bento Casaril, 2020.

74 f.

Dissertação (mestrado), Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Campus de Francisco Beltrão, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Aplicadas à Saúde, 2020.

1. Microrganismos. 2. Caracterização fenotípica. 3. Microbiota. 4. Alimentos fermentados. I. Casaril, Kérley Braga Pereira Bento . II. Título.

## FOLHA DE APROVAÇÃO

**JULIANA ALEXSANDRA MACHADO ANDRÉ**

### **SELEÇÃO DE BACTÉRIAS LÁCTICAS COM POTENCIAL PROBIÓTICO PROVENIENTES DE QUEIJO COLONIAL**

Essa dissertação foi julgada adequada para obtenção do título de Mestre em Ciências Aplicadas à Saúde e aprovada em sua forma final pelo(a) Orientador(a) e pela Banca Examinadora.

#### **BANCA EXAMINADORA**

Orientador (a): Profa. Dra. Kérley Braga Pereira Bento Casaril  
UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DO OESTE DO PARANÁ – UNIOESTE

Membro da banca: Profa. Dra. Cleide Viviane Buzanello Martins  
UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DO OESTE DO PARANÁ - UNIOESTE

Membro da banca: Profa. Dra. Andréia Cátia Leal Badaró  
UNIVERSIDADE TECNOLÓGICA FEDERAL DO PARANÁ - UTFPR

FRANCISCO BELTRÃO - PR  
(ABRIL/2020)

## AGRADECIMENTOS

Agradeço primeiramente a Deus, por ter me dado saúde e força para superar as dificuldades e pela oportunidade de realizar o sonho de ser Mestre.

A minha Orientadora Profa. Dra. Kérley Braga Pereira Bento Casaril, pelo suporte, compreensão e incentivo ao longo desse período, agradecendo a oportunidade de trabalhar ao seu lado e compartilhar um pouco de sua experiência e conhecimento.

As monitoras dos laboratórios da Unioeste, Elaine Kerscher, Carolina de Carli e Katiana Henning, por todo auxílio, ensinamentos e pela paciência conosco ao longo da pesquisa.

A minha colega de pesquisa Lúgia Balbinot, por toda a ajuda, apoio e companheirismo durante a pesquisa.

As minhas colegas de Mestrado Ana Carolina Pereira da Silva, Caroline de Maman Oldra e Sandriane Moreno, pela amizade, e por toda a ajuda e apoio nessa etapa, tornando a caminhada menos árdua.

A minha família pela compreensão, paciência, incentivo e afeto.

Por fim, mas não menos importante, agradeço ao corpo docente e a Coordenação do Mestrado, por todos os ensinamentos, que me concederam os meios para chegar até aqui.

Gratidão a cada um que esteve comigo nessa caminhada, um trabalho árduo que não seria possível sem vocês, e a realização de um sonho muito importante para mim. A todos, o meu muito obrigada.

## DEDICATÓRIA

Dedico esse trabalho aos meus pais que muito me apoiaram e me incentivaram nessa trajetória, e a todos os meus amigos e professores que de alguma forma contribuíram para a realização desse tão sonhado projeto.

## LISTA DE TABELAS

Tabela 1 – Analysis of the antimicrobial activity of LAB isolated from colonial chee expressing the Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation of the inhibition halos in millimeters.....	39
Tabela 2 – Result regarding the resistance of LAB strains isolated in the study to different types of antimicrobials.....	41
Tabela 3 – Final counts of viable microorganisms of the 20 strains submitted to cultivation at different pH values for 48 hours.....	42
Tabela 4 – Final counts of viable microorganisms from the 20 strains subjected to cultivation for 48 hours under different conditions to simulate in vitro resistance to conditions similar to the gastrointestinal tract.....	45

## LISTA DE ABREVIATURAS E SIGLAS

ANVISA – Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária

BAL – Bactérias ácido lácticas

BHI – Brain Heart Infusion

°C – Graus Celsius

CHO – Carboidratos

CO<sub>2</sub> – Dióxido de carbono

DCNT – Doenças Crônicas Não Transmissíveis

DM – Diabetes *mellitus*

FOSHU – *Foods for Specified Health Use*

g – Gramas

HAS – Hipertensão Arterial Sistêmica

MALDI-TOF – *Matrix Associated Laser Desorption-Ionization - Time of Flight*

MH – Müller-Hinton

mL – Mililitros

NaCl – Cloreto de sódio

PCR – Reação em Cadeia da Polimerase

pH – Potencial hidrogeniônico

RDC – Resolução de Diretoria Colegiada

µL – Microlitros

## **Seleção de bactérias lácticas com potencial probiótico provenientes de queijo colonial**

### **Resumo**

Os queijos artesanais, também conhecidos como queijos coloniais, são comumente produzidos na região Sudoeste do Paraná e suas técnicas de produção são transmitidas verbalmente e passadas de geração em geração, bem como apresentam uma diversificada população microbiana, tanto de bactérias desejáveis quanto indesejáveis, as quais podem deteriorar o produto e causar danos à saúde do consumidor. Dentre os microrganismos desejáveis estão as bactérias ácido lácticas, as quais apresentam-se úteis nos processos de fermentação e de preservação dos queijos, além de possuírem potencial probiótico, visto que conseguem resistir ao pH ácido do estômago, suco gástrico, sais biliares e enzimas digestivas, agindo beneficentemente no intestino. Entre os diversos benefícios que as bactérias ácido lácticas proporcionam para a saúde humana, estão as ações antimicrobiana, antioxidante e anticarcinogênica, bem como, reduzem a ocorrência de doenças intestinais e intolerância à lactose. Diante desse contexto, o objetivo do presente estudo foi isolar e identificar, por meio de análises fenotípicas, bactérias ácido lácticas com potencial probiótico a partir de queijos coloniais. Para tanto, amostras de queijo colonial (n=10) foram utilizadas para o isolamento de culturas bacterianas. As bactérias foram caracterizadas fenotipicamente e testadas quanto a resistência à diferentes temperaturas, capacidade de fermentação de carboidratos e capacidade de crescimento em diferentes concentrações de NaCl. Posteriormente, foram selecionados 20 isolados para análise de atividade e de suscetibilidade antimicrobiana, tolerância e resistência a meios ácidos. Observou-se que a maioria das bactérias apresentou formato de bacilos Gram-positivos e catalase negativas, todas apresentaram crescimento nas temperaturas avaliadas (10°C e 45°C) e a maioria fermentou todos os carboidratos (glicose, lactose, sorbitol e manitol) com produção de gases, caracterizando-se como heterofermentativas. Quanto à resistência a diferentes antimicrobianos, 75% demonstraram-se resistentes a dois ou mais antimicrobianos. Os isolados também se apresentaram pouco sensíveis ao meio ácido, com maior tempo de sobrevivência quando o meio ácido

foi associado ao leite e ótima resistência em condições intestinais. A identificação dos microrganismos por MALDI-TOF identificou cinco isolados como sendo *Lactobacillus brevis*, dois como *Enterococcus faecium*, três como *Pediococcus acidilactici* e um como *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. Diante de todo esse contexto, é possível inferir que as BAL presentes nos queijos coloniais analisadas apresentam potencial probiótico, merecendo destaque em pesquisas futuras, visto que possuem aspectos positivos com relação aos quesitos avaliados.

**Palavras-chave:** Microrganismos, caracterização fenotípica, microbiota, alimentos fermentados, antagonismo.

## Selection of lactic acid bacteria with probiotic potential from colonial cheese

### Abstract

Artisanal cheeses, also known as colonial cheeses, are commonly produced in the Southwest region of Paraná and their production techniques are transmitted verbally and passed down from generation to generation, as well as presenting a diverse microbial population, both desirable and undesirable bacteria, which can deteriorate the product and cause damage to the health of the consumer. Among the desirable microorganisms are the lactic acid bacteria, which are useful in the fermentation and cheeses preservation processes, in addition to having probiotic potential, since they can resist the acid pH of the stomach, gastric juice, bile salts and digestive enzymes, acting beneficially in the intestine. Among the many benefits that lactic acid bacteria provide for human health are antimicrobial, antioxidant and anticarcinogenic actions, as well as reducing the occurrence of intestinal diseases and lactose intolerance. In this context, the aim of the present study was to isolate and identify, through phenotypic analyses, lactic acid bacteria with probiotic potential from colonial cheeses. For that, samples of colonial cheese samples (n = 10) were used to the isolate of bacterial cultures. The bacteria were phenotypically characterized and tested for resistance to different temperatures, carbohydrate fermentation capacity and growth capacity at different NaCl concentrations. Subsequently, 20 isolates were selected for analysis of antimicrobial activity and susceptibility, tolerance, and resistance to acidic media. It was observed that most bacteria presented Gram-positive bacilli and negative catalase, all showed growth at the temperatures evaluated (10°C and 45°C) and most fermented all carbohydrates (glucose, lactose, sorbitol and mannitol) with gas production, characterized as heterofermentative. Regarding resistance to different antimicrobials, 75% were resistant to two or more antimicrobials. The isolates were also showed little sensitivity to the acidic medium, with longer survival time when the acidic medium was associated with milk and excellent resistance under intestinal conditions. The identification of microorganisms by MALDI-TOF identified five isolates as *Lactobacillus brevis*, two as *Enterococcus faecium*, three as *Pediococcus*

*acidilactici* and one as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. Considering this context, it is possible to infer that the BAL present in the colonial cheeses analyzed have probiotic potential, deserving prominence in future research, since they have positive aspects in relation to the items evaluated.

**Keywords:** Microorganisms, phenotypic characterization, microbiota, fermented foods, antagonism.

# SUMÁRIO

<b>1. INTRODUÇÃO GERAL</b>	13
1.1 Alimentos Funcionais	14
1.2 Microbiota do trato gastrointestinal	15
1.3 Bactérias ácido lácticas	16
1.4 Probióticos	19
<b>2. OBJETIVOS</b>	21
2.1 Geral	21
2.2 Específicos	21
<b>3. METODOLOGIA</b>	22
3.1 Isolamento, seleção e caracterização das bactérias	22
3.2 Crescimento dos isolados para os diferentes testes	23
3.3 Caracterização fenotípica dos isolados bacterianos	23
3.4 Capacidade de sobrevivência a diferentes temperaturas	23
3.5 Fermentação de carboidratos	23
3.6 Capacidade de crescimento a diferentes concentrações de NaCl	24
3.7 Critérios para a seleção dos 20 isolados	24
3.8 Atividade antimicrobiana das BAL	25
3.9 Suscetibilidade antimicrobiana	25
3.10 Tolerância das BAL a condições ácidas	26
3.11 Resistência ao TGI superior de forma simulada	26
3.12 Identificação molecular	27
<b>4. REFERÊNCIAS</b>	28
<b>5. SELECTION OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA WITH PROBIOTIC POTENTIAL FROM COLONIAL CHEESE</b>	32
<b>Normas da Revista - <i>FEMS Microbiology Letters</i></b>	54

## 1. INTRODUÇÃO GERAL

Os queijos artesanais, popularmente denominados como queijos coloniais, são comumente produzidos na região Sudoeste do Paraná e suas técnicas de produção são transmitidas verbalmente e passadas de geração em geração. Devido às técnicas de manejo e por serem produzidos, na maioria dos casos, com leite cru e sem adição de um inóculo inicial, os queijos coloniais apresentam uma diversificada população microbiana indesejada, a qual apresenta-se como um fator de deterioração do produto e perigo microbiológico para os consumidores (HERMANNNS, 2013; PEHRSON, 2017).

Além desses microrganismos indesejáveis, estão presentes naturalmente nos queijos coloniais as bactérias ácido lácticas (BAL), essenciais para o processo de fermentação e uma das formas mais antigas de preservação. Isso ocorre devido à redução do pH e consequente produção de ácidos orgânicos, como o ácido láctico, a partir da fermentação de carboidratos (CHO) disponíveis, tornando-se o principal efeito antagonista contra diferentes microrganismos (NASCIMENTO, 2007; CORBO *et al.*, 2009; HERMANNNS, 2013).

Devido ao potencial probiótico, as BAL têm sido incorporadas aos queijos, por apresentarem características adequadas, como sua capacidade tamponante e seu elevado teor de gordura, oferecendo proteção às bactérias durante a passagem pelo trato gastrointestinal (BACK *et al.*, 2013). Após ingeridas, as bactérias probióticas devem ser capazes de sobreviver às condições adversas presentes no trato gastrointestinal, como suco gástrico, sais biliares e enzimas digestivas, bem como devem manter sua viabilidade e atividade metabólica no intestino, para então atuar beneficemente sobre as funções do organismo humano (SAAD, 2006; ARAÚJO, 2007).

Dentre os benefícios gerados pelo efeito probiótico das BAL pode-se destacar a atividade antimicrobiana, a atividade antioxidante, o controle das infecções intestinais, a melhora na absorção de alguns nutrientes, a melhora na utilização de lactose e no alívio dos sintomas de intolerância a esse açúcar, a redução dos níveis de colesterol, o efeito anticarcinogênico e melhora da resposta imune em decorrência da produção de anticorpos (SAARELA *et al.*, 2002;

VASILJEVIC; SHAH, 2008; SCHMID *et al.*, 2006; BACK *et al.*, 2013).

Diante desse contexto, destaca-se a importância do desenvolvimento de estudos que sejam capazes de caracterizar a colonização bacteriana dos queijos coloniais, em especial as BAL, a fim de incentivar o consumo de produtos regionais, bem como favorecer a economia local.

## 1.1 Alimentos Funcionais

A expectativa de vida das pessoas vem aumentando com o passar dos anos e ao mesmo tempo tem crescido a incidência das doenças crônicas, tais como Diabetes *mellitus* (DM), Hipertensão Arterial Sistêmica (HAS) e câncer. Nesse sentido, a população vem adotando hábitos alimentares mais saudáveis, buscando um equilíbrio alimentar. Foi a busca por essa alimentação equilibrada que despertou o interesse por alguns alimentos que, além de suprir as necessidades básicas do organismo, também previnem algumas doenças (CARDOSO, 2012).

Segundo Vidal *et al.* (2012), alimentos funcionais são aqueles que produzem efeitos fisiológicos ou metabólicos por meio do desempenho de algum nutriente, na manutenção das funções do organismo humano, ou seja, eles proporcionam um benefício fisiológico adicional, além daquele de satisfazer às necessidades nutricionais básicas.

Um alimento pode ser considerado funcional se for demonstrado que pode afetar benéficamente uma ou mais funções alvo no organismo, além de possuir os adequados efeitos nutricionais, de maneira que seja tanto relevante para o bem-estar e a saúde, quanto para a redução do risco de doenças (SILVA, ORLANDELLI, 2019). Os alimentos funcionais são alimentos capazes de combinar produtos comestíveis de alta flexibilidade com moléculas biologicamente ativas, como estratégia para corrigir distúrbios metabólicos, resultando em redução dos riscos de doenças e manutenção da saúde (LAMOUNIER, 2015).

Lima *et al.* (2017) destacam alguns critérios para determinação de um alimento funcional, tais como: exercer ação metabólica ou fisiológica que contribua para a saúde física e para a diminuição de morbidades crônicas; integrar a alimentação usual; os efeitos positivos devem ser obtidos em quantidades não tóxicas, perdurando mesmo após suspensão de sua ingestão; e, por fim, não são destinados ao tratamento ou cura das doenças, apenas previnem seu

acontecimento e caso já estejam instaladas ajudam o organismo a combatê-las de forma mais eficaz.

Os alimentos funcionais podem ser encontrados para consumo humano nas formas artificiais e naturais. Os alimentos funcionais artificiais são fabricados por empresas especializadas e autorizadas. Já as formas naturais (ou compostos bioativos)<sup>1</sup> são os alimentos que contêm ácidos graxos (linoléico, ômega-3 e 6, e limonoides), fibras, compostos fenólicos (resveratrol, isoflavona e zeaxantina); carotenoides (betacaroteno, licopeno, luteína); fitoquímicos, peptídeos ativos (arginina e glutamina), prebióticos (inulina e oligofrutose ou frutooligossacarídeo) e os probióticos como *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus lactis* e *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* (MOREIRA *et al.*, 2017).

Há um aumento no comércio e no consumo dos alimentos funcionais, que além de apresentarem características nutricionais e tecnológicas peculiares, atendem às exigências do consumidor que busca alimentos inovadores (ORTEGA, *et al*, 2016). A indústria de laticínios vem se destacando nesse aspecto com o maior número de produtos funcionais, através da adição de probióticos e prebióticos em alimentos como o iogurte e os leites fermentados no geral (HUANG; ZHOU, 2017).

## 1.2 Microbiota do trato gastrointestinal

As bactérias coabitam normalmente com humanos e se encontram associados a vários tecidos do corpo humano, incluindo todo o trato gastrointestinal. As que comumente habitam a cavidade oral são os estreptococos, porém não colonizam o estômago em grandes quantidades, devido ao baixo pH e ao trânsito rápido desse órgão, sendo os principais exemplos os lactobacilos, enterococos, helicobactérias e bacilos (PAIXÃO, CASTRO, 2016).

O duodeno também tende a ser ácido e apresenta trânsito rápido. Além disso, recebe secreções pancreáticas e biliares, que criam um ambiente hostil para os microrganismos, de modo que, ali predominam os lactobacilos e estreptococos. No

---

<sup>1</sup> Compostos bioativos são substâncias que compõe a matriz do alimento e que melhoram o bem-estar geral e a saúde. Os componentes ativos ligados a estes benefícios incluem prebióticos, fibras, probióticos, peptídeos, proteínas, vitaminas, minerais e ácidos graxos ômega-3. As substâncias bioativas compreendem, entre outras, os carotenóides, os fitoesteróis, os flavonóides, os fosfolipídeos, os organossulfurados e os polifenóis.

jejuno e, particularmente, no íleo, há aumento gradual no número e na diversidade das bactérias presentes (SANDERS, 2011), e o colón contém a maior parte dos microrganismos gastrointestinais. É importante destacar que antes do nascimento, não existem microrganismos presentes no trato gastrointestinal, mas a colonização ocorre rapidamente durante ou após o parto (GRITZ; BHANDARI, 2015).

Os microrganismos intestinais podem ser comensais (microrganismos colonizadores nativos de um indivíduo) ou temporários (microrganismos de passagem). Além disso, esses microrganismos podem ser benéficos, potencialmente nocivos ou patogênicos. Os microrganismos considerados benéficos geralmente fermentam carboidratos, não produzem toxinas e podem proporcionar uma série de potenciais benefícios para o hospedeiro, como a interação com o sistema imunológico e a inibição competitiva de patógenos. Esses microrganismos incluem os gêneros *Bifidobacterium*, *Eubacterium* e *Lactobacillus* (KAPEL *et al.*, 2014).

O intestino delgado é o principal alvo de muitas infecções exógenas, como as causadas por rotavírus, *Salmonella Typhimurium* e algumas cepas de *Escherichia coli*, geralmente contraídos a partir de água ou alimentos contaminados. No entanto, todos os indivíduos carregam microrganismos com potencial patogênico oportunista (SANDERS, 2011).

O trato gastrointestinal é descrito como o maior órgão imunológico do corpo humano. Representa a maior área de contato da mucosa do hospedeiro com o ambiente e, contém até 80% de todas as células que produzem anticorpos. A microbiota intestinal também é uma parte essencial do sistema de defesa do corpo humano. A integridade do revestimento epitelial do trato gastrointestinal é essencial para a saúde e o rompimento dessa barreira intestinal pode aumentar o risco de certos distúrbios ou doenças intestinais (GIBSON *et al.*, 2011).

### **1.3 Bactérias ácido lácticas**

As BAL são microrganismos sob a forma de cocos ou bacilos Gram-positivos, catalase-negativas, não formadoras de esporos filogeneticamente distintas, imóveis, anaeróbicas facultativas, capazes de realizar a fermentação em anaerobiose, bem como em aerobiose, mas de uma forma mais lenta. Produzem o ácido láctico, como o principal produto final da fermentação dos açúcares (CABRAL,

*et al*, 2016). Estão amplamente distribuídas na natureza e podem ser encontradas em diferentes produtos alimentares como fermentados, carnes, derivados lácteos, vegetais e bebidas (CARVALHO, *et al*, 2017).

As BAL têm sido amplamente utilizadas na produção de iogurtes, queijos, manteiga, bebidas, leites fermentados, produtos cárneos, entre outros produtos, conferindo aos produtos características sensoriais únicas como aroma, textura e *flavor* (BRUNO; CARVALHO, 2009; WANG; CUI; QU, 2018).

Além das diversas substâncias com características sensoriais, as BAL também produzem substâncias antimicrobianas capazes de interferir no metabolismo e na multiplicação de bactérias patogênicas, tais como, ácidos orgânicos, peróxido de hidrogênio, dióxido de carbono, diacetil e bacteriocinas, que tornam o meio desfavorável para a multiplicação de patógenos e algumas bactérias deteriorantes e atuam favoravelmente nos produtos alimentares, fazendo parte dos microrganismos capazes de exercer efeitos benéficos ao hospedeiro (O'SULLIVAN *et al.*, 2015).

As BAL compreendem 13 gêneros: *Carnobacterium*, *Enterococcus*, *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Lactosphaera*, *Leuconostoc*, *Oenococcus*, *Pediococcus*, *Paralactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *Tetragenococcus*, *Vagococcus* e *Weissella*, sendo considerados ainda, os gêneros: *Aerococcus*, *Alloiococcus*, *Dolosigranulum*, *Globicatella* e *Melissococcus* (SILVA, 2016). Contudo, os gêneros *Lactobacillus* e *Enterococcus*, são mais comumente utilizados como probióticos (MENDES *et al.*, 2014).

O gênero *Lactobacillus* é definido como células alongadas, em forma de bastonete, não produtores de esporos, termófilos, microaerófilos, Gram-positivo e produtoras de ácidos, em grande parte láctico, a partir de carboidratos (VANDENPLAS; HUYS; DAUBE, 2015). Microscopicamente, essas bactérias são imóveis e apresentam hastes finas que variam em comprimento de longo para curto. Também podem aparecer como coneiformes, uma morfologia dobrada ou tendem a crescer em cadeias. A maioria das espécies de lactobacilos é anaeróbia facultativa (OLIVEIRA, 2018).

O gênero *Lactobacillus* reúne cerca de 140 espécies que podem ser encontradas no leite cru, em produtos fermentados, na carne e em frutas (SILVA, 2016). Desempenha importante papel na produção e na preservação dos alimentos e é componente de vários tipos de fermentos, como, por exemplo, na produção de

leites fermentados e na maturação de diversos tipos de queijos. Também contribui para a manutenção do equilíbrio da microbiota intestinal, constituindo componentes importantes na produção de probióticos para a alimentação humana e animal (CARVALHO, 2017). Muitas cepas de *Lactobacillus* foram caracterizadas como probióticos e foram notificadas para exercer benefícios para saúde do consumidor (YERLIKAYA, 2019).

Embora as BAL englobem diversos gêneros, são agrupadas de acordo com o produto final da sua fermentação, sendo divididas em: homofermentativas, ou seja, que produzem ácido láctico como principal produto da fermentação da glicose e heterofermentativas, que além do ácido láctico, formam outras substâncias, como dióxido de carbono, ácido acético e etanol (SHORI, 2015).

A identificação das BAL pode ser realizada mediante a multiplicação em meios de cultura seletivos, com posterior identificação do gênero e/ou espécie utilizando técnicas bioquímicas, fisiológicas e moleculares ou exclusivamente utilizando métodos moleculares, como a Reação em Cadeia da Polimerase - PCR (RESENDE, 2011).

O método clássico de identificação das BAL é a microbiologia tradicional, na qual é necessário o isolamento bacteriano que é realizado por meio do cultivo microbiológico. Para isso, há uma série de meios de cultura disponíveis; porém, os mais utilizados são o ágar M17 e o ágar Rogosa acidificado ou o ágar MRS, desenvolvido por Man, Rogosa e Sharpe, para isolamento de bactérias com morfologia de cocos e bacilos (MENDES *et al.*, 2015).

As colônias isoladas são purificadas, geralmente em ágar MRS e submetidas aos seguintes testes: coloração diferencial de Gram, verificação da morfologia, teste de atividade de catalase e de produção de ácido (MOTA *et al.*, 2015). Os microrganismos Gram-positivos, catalase negativo, produtores de ácido, com morfologia de cocos ou bacilos são considerados BAL. Como critério funcional, cepas de BAL probióticas devem sobreviver à passagem ao trato gastrointestinal e, portanto, serem capazes de tolerar as condições ácidas do estômago, resistir às enzimas digestivas e aos ácidos biliares no início do intestino delgado, aderir à superfície da mucosa intestinal e, assim, assegurar benefícios clinicamente validados para a saúde dos consumidores (CABRAL, 2016).

A resistência à simulação dos sucos gástrico e intestinal estão entre os ensaios *in vitro* que são frequentemente sugeridos para a avaliação da cepa com

potencial probiótico (BARBOSA; GIBBIS; TEIXEIRA; 2010).

As culturas de BAL utilizadas em alimentos não possuem potencial patogênico, além de serem excelentes produtoras de substâncias antimicrobianas, criando um microambiente desfavorável a diversos microrganismos, inclusive aqueles com potencial patogênico, sendo esta característica a base de inúmeros métodos de conservação de alimentos por fermentação. As condições ácidas do meio melhoram a competitividade das BAL, que apresentam maior tolerância ao baixo pH extra e intracelular, comparado às demais bactérias (PATEL; GOYAL, 2012).

A grande variedade e o número de aplicações de BAL aumentam a necessidade de correlacionar características industriais e clinicamente importantes com informações genômicas para examinar as possibilidades de exploração de seu potencial metabólico, melhorando assim seu uso em aplicações biotecnológicas e relacionadas à saúde animal e humana (ORTEGA *et al.*, 2016).

O estudo do potencial probiótico e biotecnológico de culturas de BAL é interessante à indústria nutracêutica e alimentícia, pois promovem várias informações sobre suas características que são avaliadas para realizar em seus produtos a utilização adequada desses microrganismos, garantindo assim a segurança dos produtos funcionais para novas terapias (LIMA *et al.*, 2017).

#### **1.4 Probióticos**

Os probióticos são microrganismos vivos que quando administrados de forma adequada, conferem benefícios à saúde do hospedeiro, podendo ser incluídos na preparação de uma ampla gama de produtos, como alimentos, medicamentos e suplementos dietéticos. As espécies de *Lactobacillus* e *Enterococcus* são as mais comumente usadas como probióticos (BRÜSSOW, 2019).

Para que os microrganismos possam ser considerados probióticos devem resistir à passagem pelo estômago e intestino delgado para seguirem até o intestino grosso onde possam promover seus benefícios. Para que isso aconteça devem resistir ao suco gástrico e sais biliares, aderirem ao muco ou epitélio intestinal e ter viabilidade até o consumo final, além de comprovação *in vivo* e *in vitro* das doses de ingestão recomendadas (ZACARCHENCO *et al.*, 2013; SANDERS *et al.*, 2019).

Os probióticos são capazes de fermentar os prebióticos, favorecendo uma

vantagem de competição, melhorando sua sobrevivência no trato gastrointestinais, pois a fermentação é uma fonte de energia (SCHNEIDER, 2016). Um produto contendo prebióticos e probióticos combinados é considerado simbiótico, onde no qual o conjunto desses dois substratos favorece-os mutuamente e o consumo confere inúmeros benefícios ao indivíduo (PAIXÃO; CASTRO, 2016).

Probióticos são capazes de modular algumas características fisiológicas do trato gastrointestinais, como a imunidade da mucosa e a permeabilidade intestinal (SCHNEIDER, 2016). Vários fatores externos podem interferir na microbiota normal do nosso intestino, como a dieta, o uso de antibióticos, estresse, fumo, tratamentos quimioterápicos e radioterapia, além do envelhecimento (CABRAL *et al.*, 2016).

Os produtos probióticos são desenvolvidos para reduzir as doenças fisiológicas em diferentes áreas do corpo. Apesar de o trato gastrointestinais ser o alvo mais importante da maioria dos probióticos, outras áreas do corpo, como a cavidade oral, o trato urogenital e a pele também são consideradas. Os probióticos desempenham importantes papéis na medicina e, também, na odontologia (PINO, *et al.*, 2019).

Os principais benefícios do uso de probióticos são:

Auxiliar no tratamento de desordens intestinais como diarreia aguda, síndrome do intestino irritável, doença de Chron, constipação e colite pseudomembranosa; prevenir infecções do trato reprodutivo e urinário; induzir resposta imune que tenham efeito sistêmico, como por exemplo para o controle de inflamações na pele; prevenir infecções do trato respiratório; redução da colonização de patógenos; síntese de vitaminas; aprimoramento do trânsito gastrointestinal; alívio da intolerância à lactose; efeitos imunomoduladores; regulação da pressão arterial; redução dos níveis séricos do colesterol; redução da microbiota que causa a cárie; redução dos níveis de *Candida* sp. na saliva de idosos (SOUZA *et al.*, 2011; VANDENPLAS *et al.*, 2014; MOKOENA, 2017; PINO *et al.*, 2019).

A preocupação com a segurança do uso de probióticos cresce à medida que há, um aumento da oferta de alimentos suplementados com probióticos. A maioria dos probióticos é comercializada como gênero alimentício e não como produto farmacêutico ou biológico, mas é de extrema importância que a sua segurança seja levada em consideração. A segurança dos microrganismos tradicionalmente usados é confirmada pelo longo período de pesquisas, principalmente no trato gastrointestinal (JENSEN *et al.*, 2012).

Os fatores que devem ser considerados na avaliação da segurança dos

probióticos incluem patogenicidade, infectividade, fatores de virulência compreendendo toxicidade, atividade metabólica e propriedades intrínsecas do microrganismo. *Bifidobacterium* são as bactérias predominantemente presentes no intestino de bebês durante a amamentação materna e são consideradas contribuintes da saúde deles. Estudos realizados com *Bifidobacterium* sugerem sua baixa patogenicidade, mas seu perfil de probiótico seguro pode estar relacionado ao número reduzido de estudos realizados com esta cepa (SILVA, 2016).

É importante destacar que os probióticos são seguros para o uso de pessoas saudáveis, mas devem ser administrados com cautela em pessoas debilitadas para evitar o risco de sepse. Embora ainda haja muito a ser estudado sobre os mecanismos de ação e as adequadas vias de administração dos probióticos, entende-se que diferentes cepas podem ter efeitos diferentes em pessoas saudáveis ou doentes, em diferentes estágios de determinadas doenças e em diferentes grupos etários (SCHNEIDER, 2016).

## **2. OBJETIVOS**

### **2.1 Geral**

Isolar e identificar, por meio de análises fenotípicas, bactérias ácido lácticas com potencial probiótico a partir de amostras de queijos coloniais.

### **2.2 Específicos**

- Caracterizar o potencial probiótico dos isolados de bactérias ácido lácticas, pela capacidade de multiplicação à diferentes concentrações de cloreto de sódio (NaCl), fermentação de carboidratos e capacidade de multiplicação em diferentes temperaturas;
- Analisar o efeito antimicrobiano de isolados perante alguns patógenos

potenciais e definir um perfil de suscetibilidade dos isolados a diferentes tipos de antimicrobianos;

- Selecionar isolados com potencial probiótico para realizar testes *in vitro* de resistência às condições ácidas, a presença de sais biliares, e resistência ao trato gastrointestinal superior de forma simulada.

### **3. METODOLOGIA**

#### **3.1 Isolamento, seleção e caracterização das bactérias**

No período de abril a junho de 2019, amostras de queijo colonial (n=10) foram adquiridas nos supermercados de Francisco Beltrão, município localizado no Sudoeste do Estado do Paraná. Do total de amostras adquiridas, quatro (n=4) foram produzidos em Francisco Beltrão, duas (n=2) no município de Verê, duas (n=2) no município de Planalto, uma (n=1) no município de Marmeleiro e uma (n=1) em Marechal Candido Rondon, todos municípios do Estado do Paraná. As amostras foram obtidas em condições usuais de embalagem e temperatura, acondicionadas em recipiente com isolamento térmico e encaminhadas ao Laboratório de Microbiologia do Centro de Ciências da Saúde da Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná – UNIOESTE, Campus de Francisco Beltrão – PR, onde permaneceram sob refrigeração até o momento das análises microbiológicas.

Posteriormente, no mesmo dia em que os queijos coloniais foram adquiridos, alíquotas de 25 g de cada amostra foram pesadas e transferidas, assepticamente, para frascos contendo 225 mL de solução salina (0,85%, pH 7) esterilizada. Após diluição decimal seriada ( $10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$  e  $10^{-3}$ ), alíquotas de 100  $\mu$ L foram plaqueadas em ágar De Man, Rogosa e Sharpe, (MRS, Neogen Corporation®) e incubadas a 37° C, em jarras de anaerobiose em microaerofilia com vela, durante 48 h. As colônias foram enumeradas e foram coletadas 10 colônias por amostra de queijo colonial que apresentaram morfotipos distintos e transferidas, individualmente para tubos de ensaio contendo 10 mL de caldo MRS e incubadas a 37°C, durante 24h, totalizando 100 isolados de BAL.

Os isolados foram conservados em caldo Brain Heart Infusion (BHI, Neogen Corporation®) + glicerol (25%) a -20°C para posterior caracterização.

### **3.2 Crescimento dos isolados para os diferentes testes**

Os isolados foram cultivados em caldo BHI, durante 24h, a 37°C e reinoculados sobre as mesmas condições, para todos os testes.

### **3.3 Caracterização fenotípica dos isolados bacterianos**

Para a caracterização fenotípica os 100 isolados foram testados quanto a coloração diferencial de Gram e à reação de catalase. Apenas os isolados classificados como Gram-positivos e catalase negativos foram submetidos às análises posteriores, por serem consideradas características básicas de BAL.

Dando continuidade a caracterização das BAL foram realizados teste de capacidade de sobrevivência em diferentes temperaturas (10°C e 45°C) e a fermentação de diferentes tipos de carboidratos (glicose, lactose, sorbitol e manitol).

### **3.4 Capacidade de sobrevivência a diferentes temperaturas**

Os isolados foram testados quanto à capacidade de se multiplicarem a 10°C e 45°C. Em tubos contendo 10 mL de caldo MRS foram inoculados individualmente com 100 µL de cultura ativa de diferentes isolados de BAL, em crescimento *overnight*. Os tubos foram incubados a 10 °C ou a 45°C por 48h. Como controle, foram utilizados os mesmos isolados de BAL cultivados em caldo MRS a 37°C por 48h, em pH 7. O crescimento bacteriano foi verificado após 24 e 48h, comparando-se visualmente, o grau de turvação entre os tubos controle e teste. O experimento foi realizado em triplicata.

### **3.5 Fermentação de carboidratos**

O perfil fermentativo dos isolados de BAL foi avaliado por meio da capacidade de fermentar os carboidratos glicose, lactose, sorbitol e manitol, com produção de gás. Em tubos contendo 10 mL de meio mínimo (10g de peptona bacteriológica

Kasvi<sup>®</sup>, 5g de NaCl Neon Comercial LTDA<sup>®</sup>, 0,3g de fosfato de potássio dibásico (K<sub>2</sub> HPO<sub>4</sub>) (Vetec Química Fina<sup>®</sup>), 0,0018g de vermelho de fenol Vetec Química Fina<sup>®</sup> e 5g do carboidrato a ser testado, autoclavados, foram inoculados individualmente com 100 µL de cultura ativa de diferentes isolados de BAL, incubadas a 37°C por 48h. Para observar o crescimento microbiano e a produção de gás, foram adicionados tubos de Durham invertidos aos tubos de cultura. Os isolados cujos tubos de Durham observou-se a produção de gás foram caracterizados como heterofermentativos (produzem ácido láctico, dióxido de carbono, ácido acético, etanol, aldeído e diacetileno) e os isolados que turvaram o meio de cultura mas não produziram gás foram caracterizados como homofermentativos (produzem ácido láctico). O experimento foi realizado em triplicata.

### **3.6 Capacidade de multiplicação a diferentes concentrações de NaCl**

Os isolados foram testados quanto à capacidade de multiplicação a concentrações de 4% e 6,5% de NaCl (m/v). Tubos contendo 10 mL de caldo MRS adicionados de 4% ou 6,5% de NaCl foram inoculados individualmente, com 100 µL de cultura ativa de diferentes isolados de BAL. Os tubos foram incubados a 37° por 7 dias. Como controle, foram utilizados os mesmos isolados de BAL cultivados em caldo MRS, a 37°C por sete dias, em pH 7, sem adição de NaCl. O crescimento bacteriano foi verificado a cada 24h, comparando-se visualmente, o grau de turvação entre os tubos controle e teste. O experimento foi realizado em triplicata.

### **3.7 Critérios para a seleção dos 20 isolados**

A partir dos testes de coloração diferencial de Gram, catalase, resistência a diferentes temperaturas, resistência a diferentes concentrações de NaCl e fermentação de carboidratos foram selecionados 20 isolados de BAL para a realização dos demais testes. Como critérios de inclusão foram escolhidos dois isolados de cada queijo colonial, sendo eles: cocos ou bacilos, Gram-positivos, catalase negativos, fermentadores de todos os carboidratos testados, resistentes as diferentes concentrações de NaCl e ter se multiplicado nas diferentes temperaturas

testadas.

### **3.8 Atividade antimicrobiana das BAL**

A capacidade inibitória das BAL foi verificada pela formação de halo de inibição sobre três microrganismos patogênicos: *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 (cedidas pela Unisep - União de Ensino do Sudoeste do Paraná) e *Salmonella Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 (cedidas pelo Instituto Oswaldo Cruz), pois no Brasil, a maioria das doenças transmitidas por alimentos de origem bacteriana são causadas principalmente por *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli* e *Staphylococcus*.

Após a ativação dos 20 isolados de BAL, em caldo BHI por 24h a 37°C, foram inoculados 2 µL de cada cultura na superfície de placas de Petri contendo ágar MRS solidificado, em 5 pontos diferentes em cada placa, para que houvesse a formação de colônias. As placas foram incubadas a 37°C por 24h.

Os microrganismos patogênicos por sua vez, foram ativados em caldo BHI a 37°C por 24h. Alíquotas de 100 µL do meio de cultivo contendo os microrganismos patogênicos foram transferidas para tubos de ensaio contendo 10 mL de caldo BHI, onde realizou-se uma diluição seriada até 10<sup>-2</sup> e em seguida, 750 µL do volume final foram transferidos para um tubo com 10 mL de BHI ágar a 0,87% (ágar semissólido), pré-preparado e mantido liquefeito em banho-maria a 45°C. Seu conteúdo foi vertido sobre uma das placas de ágar MRS, onde havia sido feito a formação das colônias de BAL isoladas das amostras. Após solidificação da sobrecamada de ágar BHI semissólido, as placas foram reconduzidas à estufa de cultura onde permaneceram por mais 24 a 48h. A presença de halo de inibição no meio (≥5 milímetros de diâmetro) foi considerada indicadora da produção de substâncias inibitórias produzidas pelas BAL. O experimento foi realizado em duplicata.

### **3.9 Suscetibilidade antimicrobiana**

A suscetibilidade a antimicrobianos foi avaliada pelo teste de difusão em ágar Müller-Hinton (MH), realizado de acordo com as normas do *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute* (CLSI 2017). Após o cultivo em ágar MRS a 37°C por 24h, preparou-se suspensão de colônias de BAL em solução salina esterilizada (0,85%)

até obter-se uma turvação equivalente a 0,5 da escala de MacFarland ( $1 \times 10^6$  UFC/mL<sup>-1</sup>). Cada suspensão foi inoculada com o auxílio de um *swab* esterilizado na superfície de placas contendo Ágar Müller-Hinton. Após a secagem da superfície do ágar, adicionou-se assepticamente com o auxílio de uma pinça os discos de papel impregnados com os seguintes antimicrobianos: azitromicina (15µg), clindamicina (2µg), cloranfenicol (30µg), ampicilina (10µg), sulfazotrim (25µg), amoxicilina (10µg), eritromicina (15µg), levofloxacino (5µg), norfloxacino (10µg), amicacina (30µg). As placas com os antimicrobianos foram incubadas em estufa bacteriológica a 37°C por 24h e os diâmetros das zonas de inibição foram medidos utilizando-se paquímetro. Como controle de qualidade foram utilizadas cepas padrão de *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 e *Salmonella* Typhimurium ATCC 14028. A leitura e a interpretação dos resultados foram realizadas de acordo com os padrões do *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute* (CLSI 2005). O experimento foi realizado em duplicata.

### **3.10 Tolerância das BAL a condições ácidas**

A fim de analisar a tolerância às condições ácidas, 20 isolados de BAL foram inoculados em caldo BHI a 37°C por 24h. A resistência a diferentes condições ácidas foi testada em caldo MRS (pH 7), ajustado a pH 2, 3 e 4 com ácido clorídrico (HCl) concentrado, sendo que o pH 7 foi usado como controle. Tubos contendo 10 mL de caldo MRS acidificado foram inoculados com 100 µL de cultura ativa de diferentes isolados de BAL e incubados a 37°C. Após exposições às condições ácidas de 0, 2 e 4h realizou-se diluições seriadas até  $10^{-6}$  de cada tempo e 100 µL da diluição  $10^{-6}$  foram inoculados em ágar, e as placas incubadas a 37°C durante 24h. Como controle, foram utilizados os mesmos isolados de BAL cultivados em caldo MRS, a 37°C, em pH 7.

Posteriormente, foi realizada a contagem de células sobreviventes, expressa como valores de Unidades Formadoras de Colônias por mL (UFC.mL<sup>-1</sup>). O experimento foi realizado em duplicata.

### **3.11 Resistência ao TGI superior de forma simulada**

Após 24h de incubação em caldo BHI a 37°C, os 20 isolados de BAL foram

separados por centrifugação (4000 x g por 5 minutos). Os pellets de células dos microrganismos isolados foram lavados duas vezes com tampão fosfato salina (0,85%) e ressuspensas em 5mL de solução salina de NaCl a 0,5%. Uma alíquota de 200 µL da suspensão celular foi misturada a 300 µL de solução salina e 1mL de suco gástrico ou suco intestinal simulado e incubados a 37°C por 48h. O suco gástrico simulado consistiu em pepsina (3mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) e pH 2 com ou sem a adição de leite integral estéril, reconstituído a 10% (m/v); enquanto o suco intestinal simulado foi composto por pancreatina (1mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>), pH 8 com ou sem adição de 0,5% de sais biliares. O efeito da presença de um alimento na sobrevivência durante o trânsito gástrico em pH 2 foi avaliado da mesma forma, porém, substituindo a solução salina (0,85%) por 300 µL de leite integral reconstituído a 10% (m/v). A contagem do número de células viáveis durante a simulação de passagem pelo trato gástrico e pelo trato intestinal foi realizada nos tempos 0, 90 e 240 min, plaqueando 100 µL da cultura em placas de Petri contendo ágar MRS. Os dados foram expressos como valores de UFC.mL<sup>-1</sup>. O experimento foi realizado em duplicata.

### 3.12 Identificação das espécies

Os 20 isolados dos queijos coloniais foram submetidos ao teste de identificação microbiológica através do sistema Matrix Associated Laser Desorption-Ionization - Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF). Os isolados testados foram semeados pela técnica de esgotamento em ágar MRS de forma a obter colônias isoladas e foram enviadas via Sedex-Correios, para o laboratório AQUACEN da Escola de Veterinária da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) para a identificação dos isolados a partir da metodologia de MALDI –TOF.

## 4. REFERÊNCIAS

ALMEIDA, R.C. de. **Caracterização bioquímica e genética de bactérias lácticas isoladas de queijo serrano**. 2007. 59p. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Programa de Pós-graduação em Biotecnologia, Universidade de Caxias do Sul, Caxias do Sul, 2007.  
BACK, D. *et al.* Viabilidade probiótica de queijos minas frescal com teor reduzido de lactose. **Revista do Instituto de Laticínios Cândido Tostes**, v.68, n.390, p.27-35, 2013.

BRÜSSOW, H. Probiotics and prebiotics in clinical tests: an update. **F1000Research**, v.8(F1000 Faculty Rev), p1157, 2019

CABRAL, M. L. B. *et al.* Queijos artesanais: fonte de bactérias ácido lácticas selvagens para formulação de fermentos tradicionais. **Journal of Bioenergy and Food Science**, v.3, n.4, p.207-215, 2016.

CARDOSO, A.L.; OLIVEIRA, G.G. Alimentos Funcionais. **Jornal Eletrônico da UFSC**, Florianópolis-SC, n.5, p.3-6, 2008.

CARVALHO, P.T. *et al.* Análises de bactérias ácidos lácticas, de pH e acidez em amostras de leites fermentados comercializados no município de Sete Lagoas-MG. **Brazilian Journal of Food Research**, Campo Mourão, v. 8 n. 3, p. 12-21, 2017.

CLINICAL AND LABORATORY STANDARDS INSTITUTE - CLSI. **Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing M 100**. CLSI, v.27, p.1-3, 2017.

CORBO, R.M. *et al.* Prolonging microbial shelf life of foods through the use of natural compounds and non-thermal approaches – a review. **International Journal of Food Science and Technology**, v.44, p.223-241, 2009.

GRITZ, E. C.; BHANDARI, V. The human neonatal gut microbiome: a brief review. **Frontiers in Pediatric**, Lausanne, v. 3, n. 17, p. 1-12, mar. 2015.

HERMANN, G. **Potencial bacteriocinogênico e probiótico de bactérias ácido lácticas isoladas de leite e queijos artesanais**. 2013. 100p. Tese (Doutorado) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências e Tecnologia de Alimentos, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria - RS, 2013.

KAPEL, N. *et al.* Practical implementation of fecal transplantation. **Clinical Microbiology and Infection**, London, v. 20, n. 11, p. 1098-1105, 2014.

LAMOUNIER, M. L. *et al.* Desenvolvimento e caracterização de diferentes formulações de sorvetes enriquecidos com farinha da casca da jabuticaba (*Myrciaria cauliflora*). **Revista do Instituto de Laticínios Cândido Tostes**, v. 70, n. 2, p. 93-104, 2015.

LIMA, Í. A. *et al.* Caracterização física, química e microbiológica de presunto cru desossado adicionado de lactulose. **Brazilian Journal of Food Technology**, v. 20, 2017. Disponível em: <<http://www.scielo.br/pdf/bjft/v20/1981-6723-bjft-1981-67232816.pdf>>. Acesso em: 24 abr.2017.

MENDES, D. P. G. *et al.* Quality of fermented milks produced with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Lactobacillus fermentum* isolated from artisanal cheeses. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v.66, n.4, p.1291-1295, 2014.

MENDES, H. B. *et al.* Prospecção Tecnológica Sobre Probióticos Oriundos de Microorganismos Presentes no Leite Humano. **Caderno de Prospecção**, Salvador, v. 8, n. 3, p. 479-494, 2015.

MOKOENA, M.P. Lactic Acid Bacteria and Their Bacteriocins: Classification, Biosynthesis and Applications against Uropathogens: A Mini-Review. **Molecules**, v.22, p.1255, 2017.

MOREIRA, R. M. *et al.* Development of a juçara and Ubá mango juice mixture with added *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*GG processed by high pressure. LWT-2019192. **Food Science and Technology**, v. 77, p. 259-268, 2017.

MOTTA, A.S.; GOMES, MESQUITA, M. S. Propriedades tecnológicas e funcionais de bactérias lácticas: a importância destes micro-organismos para alimentos. **Revista do Instituto de Laticínios Cândido Tostes**, v. 70, n. 3, p. 172-184, 2015.

NASCIMENTO, M.S. **Caracterização da atividade antimicrobiana tecnológica de três culturas bacteriocinogênicas e avaliação de sua eficiência no controle de *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus* e *Bacillus cereus* em queijo minas frescal.** 2007. Tese (Doutorado em Tecnologia de Alimentos), Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas – SP, 2007.

OLIVEIRA, L. G. **Influência do antagonismo por bactérias ácido-láticas e da maturação sobre a viabilidade de *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG em queijos tipo minas artesanal.** 2018. 135p. Tese (Doutorado) - Escola de Veterinária da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, 2018.

ORTEGA, M. *et al.* Formulación y evaluación de una galleta elaborada con avena, linazay pseudofruto del caujiil como alternativa de un alimento funcional. **Multiciencias**, v. 16, n. 1, p. 76-86, 2016.

O’SULLIVAN, D.J. *et al.* High-throughput DNA sequencing to survey bacterial histidine and tyrosine decarboxylases in raw milk cheeses. **BMC Microbiology**, v.15, n.1, p.266, 2015.

PAIXÃO, L.A.; CASTRO, F. F. S. A colonização da microbiota intestinal e sua influência na saúde do hospedeiro. **Universitas: Ciências da Saúde, Brasília**, v. 14, n. 1, p. 85-96, 2016.

PATEL, S.; GOYAL, A. The current trends and future perspectives of prebiotics research: a review. **Biotech**, v.2, p.115-125, 2012.

PEHRSON, M.E.S.F. **Efeito da adição de culturas probióticas sobre aspectos microbiológicos e parâmetros fermentativos de Queijo Artesanal das Terras Altas da Mantiqueira.** 2017. 126p. Tese (Doutorado em Ciências) – Escola de Engenharia de Lorena, Universidade de São Paulo, Lorena - SP, 2017.

PINO, A. *et al.* Piacentinu Ennese PDO Cheese as Reservoir of Promising Probiotic Bacteria. **Microorganisms**, v.7, p.254, 2019.

QUIGLEY, E.M.M. Prebiotics and probiotics; modifying and mining the microbiota. **Pharmacological Research**, v.61, P.213-218, 2010.

RESENDE, M.F.S. *et al.* Queijo de minas artesanal da Serra da Canastra: influência

da altitude das queijarias nas populações de bactérias ácido lácticas. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, v.63, n.6, p.1567-1573, 2011.

ROBERFROID, M.B. Functional foods: concepts and application to inulin and oligofructose. **British Journal of Nutrition**, v.87 (Suppl. 2), p.139-143, 2002.

SAARELA, M. *et al.* Gut bacteria and health foods – the European perspective. **International Journal of Food Microbiology**, Amsterdam, v.78, n.1-2, p.99-117, 2002.

SANDERS, M.E. Impact of probiotics on colonizing microbiota of the gut. **Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology**, v.45 (Suppl. 3), p.115-119, 2011.

SANDERS, M.E. *et al.* Probiotics and prebiotics in intestinal health and disease: from biology to the clinic. **Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology**, 2019.

SCHMID, K. *et al.* Development of probiotic food ingredients. In: GOKTEPE, I.; JUNEJA, V. K.; AHMEDNA. **Probiotics in food safety and human health**. Boca Raton: Taylor & Francis, 2006. p.35-66.

SCHNEIDER, K. **Aplicação de bactérias lácticas com ação antimicrobiana em queijo minas frescal**. 2016. 100p. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência e Biotecnologia, Universidade do Oeste de Santa Catarina, Videira, 2016.

SILVA, J. G. **Identificação molecular de bactérias ácido lácticas e propriedades probióticas in vitro de *Lactobacillus* spp. isolados de queijo minas artesanal de araxá, minas gerais**. 2016. 82p. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Escola de Veterinária da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, 2016.

SILVA, V.S.; ORLANDELLI, R.C. Desenvolvimento de alimentos funcionais nos últimos anos: uma revisão. **Revista UNINGÁ**, Maringá, v. 56, n. 2, p. 182-194, 2019.

SHORI, A.B. Influence of food matrix on the viability of probiotic bacteria: A review based on dairy and non-dairy beverages. **Trends in Food Science & Technology**, v. 41, n. 1, p. 37- 48, 2015.

VANDENPLAS, Y.; HUYS, G.; DAUBE, G. Probiotics: an update. **Jornal de Pediatria**, Rio de Janeiro, v.91, n.1, p.6-21, 2015.

VASILJEVIC, T.; SHAH, N.P. Probiotics – From Metchnikoff to bioactives. **International Dairy Journal**, Oxford, v.18, n.7, p.714– 728, 2008.

WANG, C.; CUI, Y.; QU, X. Mechanisms and improvement of acid resistance in lactic acid bacteria. **Archives of Microbiology**, v.200, n.2, p.195-201, 2018.

WU, C.; HUANG, J.; ZHOU, R. Genomics of lactic acid bacteria: Current status and potential applications. **Critical Reviews in Microbiology**, v.43, p. 393-404, 2017.

YERLIKAYA, O. Probiotic potential and biochemical and technological properties of *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *lactis* strains isolated from raw milk and kefir grains. **Journal**

**of Dairy Science**, v.102, n.1, p.124-134, 2019.

## 5. SELECTION OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA WITH PROBIOTIC POTENTIAL FROM COLONIAL CHEESE

Juliana Alexsandra Machado André<sup>1</sup>, Lígia Balbinot<sup>1</sup>, Kérley Braga Pereira Bento Casaril<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Health Sciences Center, State University of Western Paraná, Francisco Beltrão, Brazil.

Sentence abstract: Ten samples of colonial cheese were analyzed in order to isolate and identify, through phenotypic analyzes, the probiotic potential of lactic acid bacteria.

### **Abstract**

Handmade cheeses have a diverse microbial population, among them are lactic acid bacteria. In this context, the present study aimed to isolate and identify, through physiological analyzes, lactic acid bacteria with probiotic potential from samples of colonial cheeses. For that, ten samples of colonial cheese were used to isolate bacterial cultures. The bacteria were phenotypically characterized and tested for resistance to different temperatures, carbohydrate fermentation capacity and growth capacity at different NaCl concentrations. Subsequently, were selected 20 isolates for analysis of activity and antimicrobial susceptibility, tolerance and resistance to acidic environment. It was observed that the majority of the bacteria presented gram-positive and catalase-negative bacilli, all of them showed growth at the temperatures evaluated (10 ° C and 45 ° C) and most fermented all carbohydrates (glucose, lactose, sorbitol and mannitol) with gas production, characterized as heterofermentative. Regarding resistance to different antimicrobials, 75% of the isolates were resistant to 2 or more antimicrobials. The isolates also showed little sensitivity to the acidic environment, with a longer survival time when the acidic environment was associated with milk and excellent resistance in intestinal conditions. The isolates identification by Maldi tof identified five as *Lactobacillus brevis*, two as *Enterococcus faecium*, three as *Pediococcus acidilactici* and one as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. In this context, the BAL evaluated have an important

probiotic potential, which deserves to be highlighted in future research, since they have positive aspects in relation to the evaluated items.

**Keywords:** Microorganisms, phenotypic characterization, microbiota, fermented foods, antagonism.

## **Introduction**

Handmade cheeses, popularly known as colonial cheeses, are commonly produced in the Southwest region of the State of Paraná. The production techniques are transmitted verbally a from generation to generation. Due to the handling techniques and, since they are produced, in most cases, with raw milk and without the addition of an initial inoculum, colonial cheeses have a diversified unwanted microbial population, which presents itself as a factor of deterioration of the product and microbiological danger to consumers (Hermanns 2013; Pehrson 2017).

In addition to these undesirable microorganisms, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are naturally present in these cheeses, which can present themselves in the form of cocci or Gram-positive bacilli, are catalase-negative, non-spore-forming, phylogenetically distinct, immobile, facultative anaerobic, able to carry out fermentation in anaerobiosis, as well as in aerobiosis, but in a slower way (Salminen et al. 1998; Carr, Chill and Maida 2002). LABs are essential for the fermentation process and one of the oldest forms of preservation, due to the reduction of pH and consequent production of organic acids, such as lactic acid, from the fermentation of available carbohydrates (CHO), becoming the main antagonistic effect against different microorganisms (Corbo et al. 2009; Hermanns 2013).

Aiming at the probiotic potential, the LAB have been incorporated into cheeses, as they have adequate characteristics, such as their buffering capacity and high fat content, offering protection to bacteria during the passage through the gastrointestinal tract (GI tract) (Back et al. 2013). Among the benefits generated by the probiotic effect of LAB can be highlighted the antimicrobial and antioxidant activity, the intestinal infections control, the improvement in the absorption of some nutrients, a better use of lactose and relief of the symptoms of intolerance to this sugar, the cholesterol levels reduction, the anticarcinogenic effect and the increased immune response due to the production of antibodies (Saarela et al. 2002; Vasiljevic

and Shah 2008; Back et al. 2013).

Considering the important role of LAB on the human organism, the need to develop studies that are capable of characterizing the bacterial colonization of colonial cheeses, especially of these bacteria. In order to encourage the consumption of regional products, as well as to favor the local economy. Thus, the aim of the present study was to isolate and identify, through phenotypic analyzes, LAB with probiotic potential from colonial cheeses samples.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Isolation, selection and characterization of bacteria**

In the period from April to June 2019, 10 samples of colonial cheese were purchased from Francisco Beltrão supermarkets, a city located in the southwest of Paraná state. The samples were obtained under usual packaging conditions. They were placed in a thermally insulated container and sent to the Microbiology Laboratory, in the Health Sciences Center from the State University of Western Paraná - UNIOESTE, Francisco Beltrão Campus - PR. Where they remained refrigerated until the moment of microbiological analyzes.

After that, 25 g aliquots of each colonial cheese were weighed and transferred, aseptically, to vials containing 225 mL of sterile saline solution (0.85%, pH 7). After the serial decimal dilution ( $10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$  e  $10^{-3}$ ), a 100  $\mu$ L aliquots were plated on agar De Man, Rogosa e Sharpe (MRS, Neogen Corporation®) and incubated at 37 ° C, in anaerobic jars, for 48 h until the colonies formation. The colonies were listed and 10 colonies from each sample of colonial cheese that presented distinct morphotypes were collected and transferred individually to MRS broth in test tubes and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, totaling 100 LAB isolates.

After that, the isolates were preserved in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) + glycerol (25%) a -20°C for further characterization.

### **Growth of isolates for different tests**

The isolates were grown in BHI broth, for 24h, at 37°C and re-inoculated under the same conditions, for all tests.

### **Phenotypic characterization of bacterial isolates**

For the phenotypic characterization, the 100 were tested for Gram stain and catalase reaction. Only isolates classified as Gram-positive and catalase-negative were subjected to further analysis, as they are considered basic characteristics of LAB.

Continuing characterization of LAB growth tests were carried out at different temperatures (10°C and 45°C) and fermentation in different types of carbohydrates (glucose, lactose, sorbitol and mannitol).

### **Capability to survive at different temperatures**

The isolates were tested for their capability to multiply at 10°C and 45°C. In tubes containing 10 mL of MRS broth, they were inoculated with 100 µL of active culture from different LAB isolates. The tubes were incubated at 10°C or 45°C for 48h. As a control, the same LAB isolates were grown in MRS broth at 37°C for 48h, at pH 7. The bacterial growth was verified after 24 and 48h. It was visually compared the turbidity degree between the control and test tubes. The experiment has been carried out in triplicate.

### **Carbohydrate fermentation**

The fermentative profile of the LAB isolates was evaluated by the capability to ferment the carbohydrates glucose, lactose, sorbitol and mannitol, with gas production. In tubes containing 10 ml of minimal medium (10g of Kasvi® bacteriological peptone, 5g of NaCl Neon Comercial LTDA®, 0.3g of dibasic potassium phosphate (K<sub>2</sub> HPO<sub>4</sub>) Vetec Química Fina®, 0.0018g of phenol red Vetec Química Fina®) and 5g of the carbohydrate to be tested were inoculated with 100 µL of active culture from different LAB isolates, incubated at 37°C for 48h. To observe microbial growth and gas production, inverted Durhan tubes were added into the culture tubes. The isolates in which the tubes were observed gas production were characterized as heterofermentativos (they produce lactic acid, carbon dioxide, acetic acid, ethanol, aldehyde and diacetylene) and the isolates that did not produce gas were characterized as homofermentative (they produce lactic acid). The experiment was carried out in triplicate.

### **Growth capacity at different NaCl concentrations**

The isolates were tested for growth capacity at concentrations of 4% and 6.5% NaCl. In tubes containing 10 mL of MRS broth added with 4% or 6.5% NaCl were inoculated with 100  $\mu$ L of active culture from different LAB isolates. The tubes were incubated at 37°C for 7 days. As a control, the same LAB isolates were grown in MRS broth, at 37°C, for 7 days, at pH 7, without addition of NaCl. Bacterial growth was checked every 24 hours, visually comparing the degree of turbidity between the control and test tubes. The experiment was carried out in triplicate.

### **Criteria selection for the 20 isolates**

From the Gram stain tests, catalase tests, temperatures resistance, resistance to different concentrations of NaCl and fermentation of carbohydrates 20 isolates of LAB were selected to perform the other tests. As inclusion criteria, two isolates from each colonial cheese were chosen. They are cocci or bacilli, Gram-positive, catalase-negative, fermenters of all tested carbohydrates, resistant to different concentrations of NaCl and they multiplied at the different temperatures tested.

### **LAB antimicrobial activity**

The inhibitory activity of LAB was verified by the formation of an inhibition halo on the indicator microorganisms: *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 (provided by Unisep - Teaching Union of the Southwest of Paraná) and *Salmonella* Typhimurium ATCC 14028 (provided by Oswaldo Cruz Institute).

After activation of the 20 BAL isolates, in BHI broth for 24h at 37 ° C, 2 $\mu$ L of each culture was inoculated on the plate surface containing MRS agar, 2  $\mu$ L of each culture, at 5 different points on each plate, so that colonies were formed. The Petri dishes were incubated at 37°C for 24h.

The indicator microorganisms were activated in BHI broth at 37°C for 24 hours. Aliquots of 100  $\mu$ L of the culture medium containing the indicator microorganisms were transferred to test tubes with 10 mL of BHI broth. Where a serial dilution up until 10<sup>-2</sup> was performed and then 750  $\mu$ L of the final volume was pipetted and transferred to a tube with 10 mL of BHI 0.87% agar (semi-solid agar), pre-prepared and kept liquefied in a water bath at 45°C. Its contents were transferred into the MRS agar plates, where the LAB colonies isolated from samples had been

formed.

After complete solidification of the BHI semi-solid agar overlay, the plates were returned to the culture oven, where they remained for another 24 to 48 hours. The presence of an inhibition halo in the culture medium ( $\geq 5$  mm - mm) was considered an indicator of the production of inhibitory substances produced by LAB. The experiment was carried out in duplicate.

### **Antimicrobial susceptibility**

Susceptibility to antimicrobials was assessed by the diffusion test on Müller-Hinton agar (MHA), carried out according to the standards of the *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute* (CLSI 2017). After cultivation on MRS agar at 37°C for 24h, The LAB colonies were suspended in a sterile saline solution (0.85%) until a turbidity compatible with the 0.5 degree of the MacFarland scale ( $1 \times 10^6$  CFU/mL) was obtained. Each suspension was inoculated with the aid of a *swab* on the surface of plates containing MHA. After drying the agar surface, paper discs were aseptically added with the aid of tweezers. They were impregnated with the following antimicrobials: azithromycin (15 $\mu$ g), clindamycin (2 $\mu$ g), chloramphenicol (30 $\mu$ g), ampicillin (10 $\mu$ g), sulfazotrim (25 $\mu$ g), amoxicillin (10 $\mu$ g), erythromycin (15 $\mu$ g), levofloxacin (5 $\mu$ g), norfloxacin (10 $\mu$ g), amikacin (30 $\mu$ g). The plates with the antimicrobials were incubated in a bacteriological oven at 37°C for 24h. The zones of inhibition diameters were measured using a caliper. The experiment was carried out in duplicate.

### **LAB tolerance to acidic conditions**

In order to analyze tolerance to acidic conditions, LAB isolates were inoculated in BHI broth at 37°C for 24h. Resistance to different acidic conditions was tested in MRS broth (pH 7), adjusted to pH 2, 3 and 4, with concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl), pH 7 was used as a control. Tubes containing 10 mL of acidified MRS broth were inoculated with 100  $\mu$ L of active culture from different LAB isolates and incubated at 37°C. After exposure to acidic conditions of 0, 2 and 4h, serial dilutions were made up until  $10^{-6}$  of each time period. And 100  $\mu$ L of the  $10^{-6}$  dilution were plated on agar and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h. As a control, the same LAB isolates were cultivated in MRS broth, at 37°C for 24h and pH 7.

Subsequently, cell survival was counted and expressed using logarithmic

notation, as Colony-forming unit per mL (CFU.mL<sup>-1</sup>).

### **Simulated upper gastrointestinal tract resistance**

After 24h of incubation in BHI broth at 37°C, the LAB isolates were separated by centrifugation (4000 x g for 5 minutes). The pellet cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (0.85%) and resuspended in 5mL of 0.5% saline solution. An aliquot of 200 µL of the cell suspension was mixed with 300 µL of saline solution and 1 ml of gastric juice or simulated intestinal juice and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The simulated gastric juice consisted of pepsin (3mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) and pH 2 with and without the addition of whole milk; the simulated intestinal juice was composed of pancreatin (1mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>), pH 8 with and without the addition of 0.5% bile salts. The presence effect of a food on survival during gastric transit at pH 2 was evaluated in the same way, however, replacing the saline solution (0.85%) with 300 µL of reconstituted whole milk at 10% (m/v). The counting of viable cells during the simulation by the gastric and intestinal tracts was performed at times 0, 90 and 240 minutes, plating 100 µL of the culture in petri dishes containing MRS agar. The data were expressed as CFU.mL<sup>-1</sup> values. The experiment was carried out in duplicate.

### **Species identification**

The 20 isolates from colonial cheeses were submitted to the microbiological identification test using the system Matrix Associated Laser Desorption-Ionization - Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF). The samples were sown by the depletion technique on MRS agar in order to obtain isolated colonies, after that, they were immediately sent via Sedex to the AQUACEN laboratory, from Veterinary School of Federal University of Minas Gerais (UFMG) for the identification of the isolates by using the MALDI – TOF methodology.

### **Results**

The total of 100 LAB isolates analyzed, 67% were bacilli, 100% of Gram-positive isolates and 97% negative (97%), characteristic from bacteria to the genus *Lactobacillus* spp. In relation to the multiplication capacity at different temperatures, all isolates have developed at 10°C and 45°C after 48 hours of incubation. Regarding the ferment carbohydrates capability, it was found that 93% of the tested isolates

fermented glucose, 99% mannitol and gas formation, and all fermented lactose and sorbitol with gas production, what characterized them as heterofermentative.

All isolates when exposed to different concentrations of NaCl (4% and 6.5%) were able to multiply. The turbidity and cell mass deposit was being observed at the bottom of all tubes, when compared to control tubes.

After the preliminary tests, 20 isolates were selected to continue the following tests. Regarding antimicrobial activity (Table 1), it was observed that 45% of the LAB isolates showed inhibition of the pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* (1, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 18), 65% inhibited *Escherichia coli* (1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20) and 60% inhibited *Salmonella Typhimurium* (1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18).

**Table 1.** Analysis of the antimicrobial activity of LAB isolated from colonial cheese, expressing the Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation of the inhibition halos in millimeters.

Isolated	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Salmonella Typhimurium</i>
1	13,6 $\pm$ 1,15	13,1 $\pm$ 3,32	11,5 $\pm$ 3,40
2	-	26,2 $\pm$ 3,54	13,8 $\pm$ 1,93
3	-	12,5 $\pm$ 1,67	-
4	-	-	19,5 $\pm$ 3,16
5	-	-	15,8 $\pm$ 1,11
6	13,7 $\pm$ 3,54	-	14,9 $\pm$ 2,95
7	12,1 $\pm$ 1,90	-	-
8	16, $\pm$ 7,07	19,3 $\pm$ 3,29	-
9	-	1,74 $\pm$ 2,05	-
10	13,9 $\pm$ 1,01	15,9 $\pm$ 3,46	11,1 $\pm$ 0,86
11	-	13,5 $\pm$ 2,75	-
12	15,4 $\pm$ 3,26	13,2 $\pm$ 3,35	13,4 $\pm$ 4,27
13	13,6 $\pm$ 1,15	-	10,6 $\pm$ 1,00
14	16,2 $\pm$ 3,70	-	27,0 $\pm$ 5,05
15	-	12,5 $\pm$ 3,34	-
16	-	12,6 $\pm$ 2,35	-
17	-	-	17,6 $\pm$ 4,02

<b>18</b>	11,7±1,88	17,1±4,01	10,8±1,73
<b>19</b>	-	13,1±3,32	-
<b>20</b>	-	15,7±5,23	-

The antimicrobial susceptibility test (Table 2) demonstrated that the strains of *Lactobacillus spp.* were resistant to azithromycin (55%), clindamycin (85%), chloramphenicol (15%), ampicillin (40%), sulfazotrin (95%), amoxicillin (25%), erythromycin (20%), levofloxacin (15 %), norfloxacin (10%), amikacin (30%). In contrast, some isolates were sensitive to the antimicrobial azithromycin (40%), chloramphenicol (85%), ampicillin (40%), sulfazotrin (5%), amoxicillin (75%), erythromycin (80%), levofloxacin (15 %), norfloxacin (10%), amikacin (30%), and none of the isolates showed sensitivity to clindamycin. Furthermore, the strains showed intermediate resistance only to azithromycin (5%) (Table 2).

Regarding the different classes of antimicrobials tested, all had 2 or more resistant isolates. The beta-lactams class and sulfonamides were the ones that showed the greatest resistance of the isolates. Both classes obtained a total of 95% of the isolates resistant to at least one of the class antimicrobials. The lincosamides that showed a total of 85% of resistant isolates, and the macrolides class that added 75% of isolates resistant to their antimicrobials. In contrast, the quinolones class showed only 25% of resistant isolates, followed by the class of amphenicols, which showed the least resistance on the part of isolates, only 15% resistant.

Of the total isolates tested, 75% have showed a multidrug resistance profile, showing resistance to three or more different classes of antimicrobials. On the other hand, isolate 15 was not resistant to any of the tested antibiotics, isolate 18 was resistant only to the sulfonamide class, isolate 19 was resistant to sulfonamides and macrolides, and isolates 5 and 11 were resistant only to the sulfonamide class and lincosamides.

**Table 2.** Result regarding the resistance of LAB strains isolated in the study to different types of antimicrobials.

Isolat ed	Antimicrobials tested									
	AZI	CLI	CLO	AMP	SUT	AMO	ERI	LEV O	NOR F	AMI
1	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	R	S	R
2	I	R	S	R	R	R	R	S	S	R
3	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	S	S	S
4	S	R	S	R	R	S	S	S	S	S
5	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	S
6	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S
7	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	R	S
8	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	R
9	S	R	S	R	R	S	S	S	I	R
10	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	R	R	R
11	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	S
12	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S
13	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	I
14	S	R	S	R	R	R	R	S	S	S
15	S	I	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	I
16	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	R	S	S
17	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	S
18	S	I	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	S
19	R	I	S	S	R	S	S	S	I	S
20	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	R

Note: AZI: Azithromycin; CLI: Clindamycin; CLO: Chloramphenicol; AMP: Ampicillin; SUT: Sulfazothrin; AMO: Amoxicilin; ERI: Erythromycin; LEVO: Levofloxacin; NORF: Norfloxacin; AMI: Amikacin.

R: Resistant; S: Sensitive; I: Intermediate.

The analysis of the tolerance of the 20 isolates to acidic conditions showed that the isolates 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 15, and 20 showed survival at all times for media with pH 2, 3 and 4. Microorganisms 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 survived at all times for media with pH 3 and 4. At pH 2 they only survived at 0 and 2h. Isolate 13 survived at all times for media with pH 3 and 4, and at pH 2 only at 0h (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Final counts of viable microorganisms of the 20 strains submitted to cultivation at different pH values for 48 hours.

Isolated	Tested times	Final countdown (N x 10 <sup>-9</sup> CFU.mL)			
		pH 7	pH 2	pH 3	pH 4
1	0h	2,7	2,0	2,5	2,0
	2h	2,8	1,5	2,0	1,5
	4h	3,5	9,0	2,2	3,0
2	0h	4,0	1,2	3,1	3,5
	2h	3,7	1,4	2,1	3,1
	4h	4,1	-	2,6	3,1
3	0h	3,1	2,3	2,1	2,7
	2h	2,9	2,6	3,3	1,8
	4h	3,6	7,0	3,8	3,6
4	0h	3,0	3,3	3,2	4,2
	2h	2,7	2,0	2,3	2,9
	4h	3,8	2,5	2,5	2,9
5	0h	1,4	3,4	1,8	4,0
	2h	3,3	6,4	3,2	3,5
	4h	3,7	2,6	2,7	4,2
6	0h	3,4	1,6	2,9	3,8
	2h	3,3	3,9	2,1	4,4
	4h	3,8	2,0	1,6	3,3
7	0h	3,0	6,2	4,1	3,8
	2h	3,9	3,9	3,1	2,1
	4h	4,3	2,0	4,2	1,9
8	0h	2,6	7,1	1,7	1,9
	2h	4,7	6,5	1,9	1,9
	4h	4,9	1,7	2,2	2,0
9	0h	3,2	2,0	3,1	4,9
	2h	4,3	1,6	2,0	2,2
	4h	4,9	1,3	8,6	3,1
10	0h	3,3	7,0	6,4	2,3

	2h	4,0	5,1	8,1	3,0
	4h	4,3	1,9	7,5	3,7
	0h	1,9	4,2	3,0	4,0
<b>11</b>	2h	2,1	2,0	1,7	2,6
	4h	3,9	-	2,0	3,1
	0h	4,7	3,2	1,3	8,3
<b>12</b>	2h	1,2	2,0	6,1	1,2
	4h	2,3	-	5,5	1,9
	0h	2,4	2,4	6,3	6,3
<b>13</b>	2h	3,9	-	1,9	1,7
	4h	9,9	-	2,0	3,0
	0h	1,7	1,9	4,4	6,2
<b>14</b>	2h	3,8	1,0	4,0	3,6
	4h	5,9	-	3,3	2,5
	0h	4,6	1,1	3,6	2,0
<b>15</b>	2h	5,4	7,0	7,0	1,5
	4h	6,1	5,0	4,0	1,3
	0h	4,0	5,2	4,7	3,8
<b>16</b>	2h	1,5	2,0	1,1	3,9
	4h	2,6	-	5,2	1,0
	0h	2,1	2,1	5,0	4,8
<b>17</b>	2h	2,2	8,0	7,1	3,4
	4h	2,1	-	5,0	1,1
	0h	2,1	2,2	4,0	6,1
<b>18</b>	2h	1,2	3,0	7,5	1,5
	4h	1,4	-	5,0	1,4
	0h	1,1	1,6	2,4	3,0
<b>19</b>	2h	2,2	2,0	5,0	1,1
	4h	1,3	-	1,9	1,3
	0h	2,4	2,4	1,0	1,9
<b>20</b>	2h	7,2	3,3	4,3	3,0
	4h	6,1	1,0	7,5	8,0

---

Note: CFU = Colony-Forming Unit; N: Values obtained.

When applied to the tolerance analysis of gastric juice simulated with pepsin (pH 2), all 20 isolates decreased the number of CFU. mL<sup>-1</sup> as the stipulated time 0, 2 and 4 hours passed. The microorganisms 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 and 20 survived at all analyzed times, even though their colonies number have decreased considerably over the time. In contrast, isolates 2, 5, 8, 10, 17 and 19 survived only at 0 and 1h30min, with no colony remaining after 4h, so that isolate 1 survived only at time 0h (Table 4). In the tolerance test of gastric juice simulated with pepsin pH 2 add to the milk, it was observed that all microorganisms showed growth at 0, 1h30min and 4h, with values of CFU.mL<sup>-1</sup> similar to the control microorganisms (MRS broth, pH 7) (Table 4).

Considering the tolerance analysis to simulated intestinal juice, with pancreatin pH 8, and pancreatin pH 8 + 0.5% bile salts, the isolates have showed growth in both treatments and at all times analyzed (0, 1h30min and 4h). As the hours passed the number of CFU. mL<sup>-1</sup> increased in all microorganisms, similar to those presented by the isolated controls (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Final counts of viable microorganisms from the 20 strains subjected to cultivation for 48 hours under different conditions to simulate in vitro resistance to conditions similar to the gastrointestinal tract.

Isolated	Final countdown (N x 10 <sup>-9</sup> CFU.mL)											
	Pure pepsin pH 2			Pepsin + milk pH 2			Pure pancreatin pH 8			Pancreatin + Bile bovine pH 8		
	0min	90min	240min	0min	90min	240min	0min	90min	240min	0min	90min	240min
<b>1</b>	3,9	-	-	2,2	3,3	2,7	2,5	1,5	1,3	2,4	3,5	2,8
<b>2</b>	3,2	1,1	-	2,7	1,9	1,5	1,9	3,0	3,8	3,8	3,2	3,6
<b>3</b>	2,9	1,4	8,0	2,8	1,9	3,9	1,9	9,0	5,7	3,0	3,8	4,0
<b>4</b>	2,4	2,1	2,0	1,3	2,7	1,9	1,2	8,2	4,7	2,4	3,5	2,8
<b>5</b>	2,4	2,1	-	1,0	1,7	1,8	1,4	1,3	1,0	2,9	1,7	3,4
<b>6</b>	1,3	7,5	2,0	8,2	1,4	1,4	2,1	1,8	2,7	1,7	1,4	1,5
<b>7</b>	2,3	2,0	2,0	7,7	9,0	9,5	1,3	1,6	2,4	1,0	7,2	7,0
<b>8</b>	2,2	1,2	-	1,2	3,2	9,2	2,6	2,1	3,1	9,0	7,0	8,0
<b>9</b>	3,3	7,5	3,0	1,0	1,7	2,2	9,5	8,5	1,1	1,9	1,2	1,4
<b>10</b>	2,9	1,6	-	1,6	2,6	9,0	1,3	1,7	1,1	1,5	1,4	1,3
<b>11</b>	3,6	3,3	1,4	1,0	1,1	1,3	9,0	9,7	2,4	9,0	1,0	1,2
<b>12</b>	7,0	7,5	3,5	7,0	9,5	1,3	7,0	7,7	9,0	6,2	7,5	1,5
<b>13</b>	3,3	4,0	3,0	4,0	1,0	1,3	4,0	6,5	9,5	1,0	1,7	1,9
<b>14</b>	8,5	2,7	2,5	9,0	1,0	1,0	1,3	1,8	2,0	3,5	1,7	1,9
<b>15</b>	5,2	5,0	1,5	2,0	7,0	7,1	2,5	5,0	7,5	1,5	3,5	6,2
<b>16</b>	2,8	1,1	1,0	2,7	2,5	9,0	1,5	4,0	9,0	2,5	3,6	5,7
<b>17</b>	6,0	3,6	-	4,0	11,0	7,0	5,0	4,0	8,7	2,0	1,5	4,0
<b>18</b>	4,0	8,0	2,0	1,7	6,5	7,3	5,0	7,0	8,5	9,0	3,0	6,2
<b>19</b>	3,2	1,1	-	7,5	5,2	5,5	9,0	1,3	1,9	8,7	1,2	1,9
<b>20</b>	3,2	7,0	1,0	4,0	1,1	1,5	4,5	8,5	10,5	2,2	1,2	1,1

Note: CFU = Colony-Forming Unit; N: Values obtained.

Through molecular analysis, isolates 1, 5, 6, 7 and 16 were identified as *Lactobacillus brevis*. Isolates 2 and 9 as *Enterococcus faecium*. Isolates 8, 11 and 13 as *Pediococcus acidilactici*, and isolate 19 as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*.

## **Discussion**

As Almeida Júnior (2015) and Uecker (2018) observed, when evaluating the presence of LAB in milk and dairy products, it was observed that the isolates had morphology of bacilli and cocci, they were Gram-positive and catalase negative. In the present study, the LAB were fermenters of different CHO and by they form gases they were considered heterofermentative. This information was different from Hermanns (2013) and Uecker (2018) who found that the LAB analyzed in milk and dairy products and artisanal cheeses, respectively, were homofermentative, since even though the environment was cloudy, they did not produce gas. It is important to highlight that homofermentative bacteria, from glucose fermentation, produce only lactic acid, while heterofermentative bacteria produce in addition to lactic acid, other compounds carbon dioxide, acetic acid, ethanol, aldehyde and diacetylene, which can contribute to the flavor and aroma characteristics of fermented dairy products (Carr et al. 2002; Jay 2005; Hermanns 2013).

When evaluating the multiplication capacity at different temperatures and the tolerance to different concentrations of NaCl, all isolates showed multiplication capacity, as well as in the study by Funck (2016) which has evaluated the probiotic, technological characteristics and safety aspects of *Lactobacillus curvatus* P99. The author observed that the microorganism also multiplied at temperatures of 10°C and 45 ° C, as well as at NaCl concentrations of 4.5 and 6%, suggesting that it can survive food maturation processes fermented products such as cheese and salami.

Almeida Júnior (2015) when selecting LAB of artisanal goat cheese and autochthonous milk found that all isolates were tolerant to the concentration of 4% and 6.5% NaCl, as showed in this study. This characteristic is fundamental in the industrial application of LAB, especially in the cheese fermentation process, since these microorganisms must tolerate and remain viable to stressful conditions, such as acidity, temperature, salinity and freeze drying (Bremer and Kramer 2000).

LAB have been used in foods as natural preservatives, due to their power to inhibit several deteriorating and pathogenic microorganisms. In this study, the LAB

have showed a positive effect on inhibiting of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* e *Salmonella Typhimurium*. While Guedes Neto et al. (2005) when evaluating the antimicrobial activity of LAB isolated from artisanal and industrial rennet cheese also observed that the *Lactobacillus spp.* tested were effective in inhibiting strains of *Staphylococcus spp.* and *Escherichia coli* CM2M17.

Silva (2011) also has found positive antimicrobial effects of LAB against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Hermanns (2013) observed important action by LAB against *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739, *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 7466, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 1901 and *Salmonella Typhimurium* ATCC 13076.

In contrast, Hartmann, Wilke and Erdmann (2011) observed in their study that *Lactobacillus curvatus* was able to inhibit *Listeria monocytogenes*, but did not inhibit *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella enterica*. It is possible to conclude that the antimicrobial substances produced are bacteriocins, which has attracted attention due to their probiotic role, status GRAS (*Generally Recognized as Safe*) and its potential use as a safe additive in food preservation, which may inhibit the growth of pathogenic Gram-positive bacteria, yeasts and some species of Gram-negative bacteria (Dhewa 2012). As well as, the production of organic acids, hydrogen peroxide and substances with bactericidal or bacteriostatic actions, can also happen during lactic fermentation. So that, they can exert antagonistic activity against the growth of pathogenic and deteriorating bacteria in food. For this reason, there is a great interest in the use of LAB in foods with ingredients that favor the human and animal microbiota (Pan et al. 2009; Darsanaki et al. 2012).

Antimicrobial resistance is an increasingly frequent problem with worldwide spread, compromising the clinical treatment of various pathologies that affect humans and animals. Thus, LAB have been evaluated in order to be used as probiotics in the reconstitution of the intestinal microbiota (Silva 2011; Vitola et al. 2016), as well as a constant demand from the food industry for LAB that produce substances with antimicrobial potential, such as antimicrobial peptides, which inhibit various pathogenic and deteriorating microorganisms (Castellano *et al.* 2017).

Antimicrobial resistance is closely related to food safety and should always be investigated when there is an intention to use new strains of microorganisms in food products. Since these new strains can carry resistance genes that can be transferred to other bacteria, therefore, increase the potential for virulence and

present resistance to different antimicrobials, which can endanger human health (FAO/WHO, 2006).

As in the present study, some LAB showed resistance or sensitivity to antimicrobials, Funck (2016) and Vitola *et al.* (2016) also had the same evaluation, they verified that the isolates showed phenotypic resistance to ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, sulfonamide, vancomycin, ampicillin and gentamicin; were sensitive to amikacin, cephalothin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, streptomycin, gentamicin penicillin and tetracycline.

In addition to the characteristics already discussed, the capability to survive in the environment in which it will act is an essential characteristic when choosing a probiotic microorganism (Maragkoudakis *et al.* 2006; Liu *et al.* 2013). In order to survive in the intestine, microorganisms must tolerate the action of digestive enzymes and the low pH of the stomach, ranging from 2.5 to 3.5, reaching pH 1.5 during fasting or 4.5 when the individual is fed; and digestive enzymes. This high degree of acidity can lead to the destruction of several microorganisms ingested, since most of them are sensitive to pH values below 3. However, it is important to highlight that the nature of the food is capable of altering the transit time in the gastrointestinal tract, which usually takes from 2h to 4h, enabling the microorganism to remain, due to its buffering and protective effect (Huang e Adams 2004; Huang *et al.* 2014).

Thus, the present study found that acidic conditions are capable of interfering in the LAB activity, while the presence of food (in this case, the milk) was able to maintain its viability. Meira (2010), Ranadheera *et al.* (2014), Funck (2016) and Uecker (2018) also observed similar results. Huang e Adams (2004) claim that the low tolerance of some strains when subjected to simulated gastric juice is not sufficient to remove their probiotic effect, since the strains can reach the intestine in high concentrations when buffered by food or encapsulated, thus promoting positive effects on human health.

It is worth mentioning that, for the product to be commercialized in Brazil with the probiotic property, the microorganisms used must have tolerance against the barriers of the gastrointestinal tract, as well as they must have a viable cells number sufficient to perform the beneficial functions to the human organism (Brasil 2008).

Similar to the present study, in which MALDI-TOF was used to identify the microorganisms present in the evaluated cheeses, Angeleti *et al.* (1998) used the

same method in the quality control of buffalo mozzarella cheese. Moreover, Kanak and Yilmaz (2019) used the method in the identification and detection of the antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from local cheeses. It is important to highlight that in addition to its use in the detection of microorganisms, MALDI-TOF can be applied in the analysis of lysozyme present in cheese and in the identification of the lipid profile of cheese (Schneider, Becker and Pischetsrieder 2010; Damário *et al.* 2015).

By this context, it is possible to conclude that the LAB present in colonial cheeses marketed in a city located in the Southwest of Paraná State have probiotic potential, deserving prominence in future research, since they have positive aspects in relation to the evaluated items.

## References

- Almeida Júnior WLG. *Seleção de Bactérias Acido Láctico (BAL) autóctones de leite caprine com potencial probiótico e avaliação funcional em queijo caprino artesanal*. 2015. 113p. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Veterinárias, Universidade Federal do Vale do São Francisco, Petrolina - PE, 2015.
- Angeletti S. Matrix assisted laser desorption time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) in clinical microbiology. *Journal of Microbiology Methods* 2017; **138**:20-29.
- Benagli C, Rossi V, Dolina H *et al.* Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for the identification of clinically relevant bacteria. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**(1):e16424.
- Back D, Mattana P, Andrade DF *et al.* Viabilidade probiótica de queijos minas frescal com teor reduzido de lactose. *Revista do Instituto de Laticínios Cândido Tostes* 2013; **68**:27-35.
- Brasil. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária - ANVISA. *Alimentos com Alegações de Propriedades Funcionais e ou de Saúde, Novos Alimentos/Ingredientes, Substâncias Bioativas e Probióticos*. IX - Lista de alegações de propriedade funcional aprovadas. Atualizado em julho de 2008.
- Bremer E, Krämer R. *Coping with osmotic challenges: osmoregulation through accumulation and release of compatible solutes* in Bacterial Stress Responses, eds G. Storz and R. Hengge-Aronis. Washington, DC: ASM Press 2000, 79–97.

Carr FJ, Chill D, Maida N. The acid lactic bacteria: a literature survey. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 2002; **28**:281-370.

Castellano P, Ibarreche MP, Massani MB *et al.* Strategies for pathogen biocontrol using lactic acid bacteria and their metabolites: a focus on meat ecosystems and industrial environments. *Microorganisms* 2017, **5**:38.

Cherkaoui A, Hibbs J, Emonet S *et al.* Comparison of two matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry methods with conventional phenotypic identification for routine identification of bacteria to the species level. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2010; **48**(4):1169-75.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute - CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing M 100. *CLSI* 2017; **27**:1-3.

Corbo RM, Bevilacqua A, Campaniello D *et al.* Prolonging microbial shelf life of foods through the use of natural compounds and non-thermal approaches – a review. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 2009; **44**:223-241.

Damário N, Oliveira DN, Ferreira MS *et al.* Cheese lipid profile using direct imprinting in glass surface mass spectrometry. *Analytical Methods* 2015, **7**:2877–2880

Dhewa T. Screening, production purification and potential use of bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria of meat and dairy food origin. In: INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON NUTRITION AND FOOD SCIENCES, 2012, Singapore. Proceedings [...]. *Singapore: IACSIT Press* 2012, **39**:35-41.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations - FAO/World Health Organization - WHO. *Probiotics in food. Health and nutritional properties and guidelines for evaluation.* FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 85. FAO, Rome, Italy, 2006.

Funck GD. *Características probióticas, tecnológicas e aspectos de segurança de "Lactobacillus curvatus" P99 e produção, caracterização e aplicação de suas substâncias antimicrobiana.* 2016. 146p. Tese (Doutorado) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos, Faculdade de Agronomia Eliseu Maciel, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas – RS, 2016.

Guedes Neto LG, Souza MR, Nunes AC *et al.* Atividade antimicrobiana de bactérias ácido-lácticas isoladas de queijos de coalho artesanal e industrial frente a microrganismos indicadores. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia* 2005, **57**(2):245-250.

Hartmann HÁ, Wilke T, Erdmann R. Efficacy of bacteriocin-containing cell-free culture supernatants from lactic acid bacteria to control *Listeria monocytogenes* in food.

*International Journal of Food Microbiology* 2011, **146**(2):192–199.

Hermanns G. *Potencial bacteriocinogênico e probiótico de bactérias ácido lácticas isoladas de leite e queijos artesanais*. 2013. 100p. Tese (Doutorado) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências e Tecnologia de Alimentos, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria - RS, 2013.

Huang Y, Adams M C. In vitro assessment of the upper gastrointestinal tolerance of potential probiotic dairy propionibacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 2004, **91**:253-260.

Huang HY, Hsieh HY, King VAE *et al.* To pre-challenge lactic acid bacteria with simulated gastrointestinal conditions is a suitable approach to studying potential probiotic properties. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 2014, **107**(1):138-146.

Jay JM. *Microbiologia de Alimentos*. 6 ed. Porto Alegre: Artemed, 2005. 517-542p.

Kanak EK, Yilmaz SÖ. Maldi-tof mass spectrometry for the identification and detection of antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from local cheeses. *Food Science and Technology* 2019; **39**(Suppl. 2):462-469.

Liu X, Liu W, Zhang Q *et al.* Screening of lactobacilli with antagonistic activity against enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli*. *Food Control* 2013, **30**(2):563-568.

Maragkoudakis PA, Zoumpopoulou G, Miaris C *et al.* Probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from dairy products. *International Dairy Journal* 2006, **16**:189-199.

Meira SMM, Helfer VE, Velho RV *et al.* Identificação e resistência a barreiras biológicas de bactérias lácticas isoladas de leite e queijo de ovelha. *Brazilian Journal of Food Technology* 2010, 75-80.

Pan XD, Chen FQ, Wu TX *et al.* Prebiotic oligosaccharides change the concentrations of short-chain fatty acids and the microbial population of mouse bowel. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B* 2009, **10**(4):258–263.

Pehrson MESF. *Efeito da adição de culturas probióticas sobre aspectos microbiológicos e parâmetros fermentativos de Queijo Artesanal das Terras Altas da Mantiqueira*. 2017. 126p. Tese (Doutorado em Ciências) – Escola de Engenharia de Lorena, Universidade de São Paulo, Lorena - SP, 2017.

Ranadheera CS, Evans CA, Adams MC *et al.* Effect of dairy probiotic combinations on in vitro gastrointestinal tolerance, intestinal epithelial cell adhesion and cytokine secretion. *Journal of Functional Foods* 2014, **8**(1):18-25.

Saarela M, Lähteenmäki G, Crittenden R *et al.* Gut bacteria and health foods – the

European perspective. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 2002; **78**:99-117.

Salminen S, Von Wright A, Morelli G *et al.* Demonstration of safety of probiotics - a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 1998; **44**:93-106.

Schneidera N, Becker CM, Pischetsrieder M. Analysis of lysozyme in cheese by immunocapture mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B* 2010; **878**(2):201-206.

Silva LJM. *Isolamento e caracterização bioquímica das bactérias ácido láctico do queijo São Jorge DOP*. 2011. 117p. Dissertação (Mestrado) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Tecnologia e Segurança Alimentar, Universidade dos Açores, Hanga do Heroísmo, Portugal, 2011.

Uecker JN. *Screening de bactérias ácido lácticas isoladas de leite e derivados com potencial probiótico*. 2017. 75p. Dissertação (Mestrado) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos, Faculdade de Agronomia Eliseu Maciel, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas – RS, 2017.

Vasiljevic T, Shah NP. Probiotics – From Metchnikoff to bioactives. *International Dairy Journal* 2008; **18**:714-728.

Vitola HRS, Igkésias MA, Ramires T *et al.* *Perfil de susceptibilidade a antimicrobianos de bactérias ácido lácticas isoladas de silagem de colostro*. XXV Congresso Brasileiro de Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos e X CIGS Section IV International Technical Symposium. Fundação de Apoio da Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Gramado-RS, 2016.

## Normas da Revista - *FEMS Microbiology Letters*

### Manuscript preparation instructions

#### Scope of the Journal

*FEMS Microbiology Letters* gives priority to concise papers that merit rapid publication by virtue of their originality, general interest and contribution to new developments in microbiology. All aspects of microbiology, including virology, are covered.

The journal is divided into Sections, which cover:

- Physiology and Biochemistry (including genetics, molecular biology and 'omic' studies).
- Food Microbiology (from food production and biotechnology to spoilage and food borne pathogens).
- Biotechnology and Synthetic Biology.
- Pathogens and Pathogenicity (including medical, veterinary, plant and insect pathogens – particularly those relating to food security – with the exception of viruses).
- Environmental Microbiology (including ecophysiology, ecogenomics and meta-omic studies).
- Virology (viruses infecting any organism, including *Bacteria* and *Archaea*).
- Taxonomy and Systematics (for publication of novel taxa, taxonomic reclassifications and reviews of a taxonomic nature).
- Professional Development (including education, training, CPD, research assessment frameworks, research and publication metrics, best-practice, careers and history of microbiology).

If you are unsure which Section is most appropriate for your manuscript, for example in the case of transdisciplinary studies, we recommend that you contact the Editor-In-Chief by email prior to submission.

Our scope includes any type of microorganism - all members of the *Bacteria* and the *Archaea* and microbial members of the *Eukarya* (yeasts, filamentous fungi, microbial algae, protozoa, oomycetes, myxomycetes, etc.) as well as all viruses.

In addition, the journal publishes short Commentaries on topical issues in

microbiology. Letters to the Editor are brief communications focusing on an article that has been published in the journal within the previous six months. The journal no longer accepts Genome Announcements, as of 1 January 2015. We publish MiniReviews on current, emerging and 'hot' topics in microbiology and welcome proposals for MiniReviews from experts in their fields. These should be sent to the appropriate Section Editor in the first instance. It is worth noting that MiniReviews that have been discussed with us in advance have a higher rate of acceptance than those that are submitted without prior discussion.

### Editorial Policy

All submitted research papers should be complete in themselves and adequately supported by experimental detail; they should not be preliminary versions of communications to be published elsewhere. Papers are expected to have findings that are novel, innovative, of significance and/or present new hypotheses; descriptions of new methods are acceptable. Papers that provide confirmatory evidence or merely extend observations firmly established in one species or field site to another will not be accepted unless there are strong reasons for doing so. Members of the Editorial Board and other appropriate experts will referee the papers. Editors handling papers will independently make decisions on acceptance, revision, resubmission or rejection based on the referees' reports. The Editor in Chief or Editors will reject papers, with an immediate decision, that are outside the scope of the journal, lack significance or which they believe do not meet the required standards for other reasons. Authors who feel that there are substantial grounds for disagreement with an Editor's decision should contact the Editor in Chief, whose decision will be final. Authors who wish to withdraw their manuscript (at any stage of the process) should contact their Editor.

### Peer Review Process

All submissions to the journal are initially reviewed by the Editor and his Associates. At this stage manuscripts may be rejected without peer review if it is felt that they are not of high enough priority or not relevant to the journal. This fast rejection process means that authors are given a quick decision and do not need to wait for the review process. Manuscripts that are not instantly rejected are sent out for peer review, usually to two independent reviewers. Based on the feedback from

these reviewers and the Editors' judgment a decision is given on the manuscript.

Manuscripts may also be sent out for statistical review.

The average time from submission to first decision is 35 days.

## Submission

Please read these instructions carefully and follow them closely to ensure that the review and publication of your paper is as efficient and quick as possible. The Editors reserve the right to return manuscripts that are not in accordance with these instructions.

All material to be considered for publication in *FEMS Microbiology Letters* should be submitted in electronic form via the journal's online submission system. Once you have prepared your manuscript according to the instructions below, instructions on how to submit your manuscript online can be found by clicking [here](#).

All articles in *FEMS Microbiology Letters* are published under one of eight subject sections. Please select the most appropriate section for your submission.

All manuscripts must be accompanied by a cover letter, which should include a short statement, in 3–4 sentences, describing:

- how the work is related to the scope of journal *i.e.* why should it be published in this journal?
- the aims of the study and their significance with regard to previously published work.
- the novelty and originality of the findings.

MiniReviews can only be submitted after prior approval from an Editor. MiniReviews are often solicited from international leading investigators by one of the Editors. Authors can also put forward proposals for MiniReviews to the Editor-in-Chief or one of the Section Editors. Their contact details and fields of interest are given [here](#). Authors are encouraged to contact Editors directly by e-mail.

Such proposals should contain:

- an outline (1–3 pages)
- a short statement describing the aim, scope and relevance of the review, and an indication of why the review is timely
- information on whether there has been any review covering this or a related field in the past few years, and, if so, the specific importance of the proposed

review

- a statement as to when the completed review might be expected
- full contact details of four experts in the field who are familiar with the topical list of recent key references showing the contributions to the field made by the author(s).
- a list of recent key references showing the contributions to the field made by the author(s).

The proposals are evaluated and authors may be invited to submit the review if the material is satisfactory and of general interest.

### Nominated reviewers

When suggesting reviewers for your manuscript, please nominate a minimum of three suitably qualified scientists with no close affiliation who can give an objective view of the manuscript. Please provide professional email addresses rather than private ones. The Editors retain the right to use their discretion to select reviewers they deem appropriate, which may or may not include those nominated by authors.

### Revised Manuscripts

Manuscripts may be returned to authors for modification of the scientific content and/ or for shortening and language corrections. Revised versions must be submitted online through ScholarOne Manuscripts by clicking on the link to upload a revised manuscript provided in the authors' decision letter. This can also be achieved by clicking on the 'create a revision' button in the corresponding author's submitting author centre. A source file is required with text and tables (.doc, .docx or .rtf format, but not .pdf).

The author's response to the comments from the Editor and referees must be provided in a cover letter, as well as a clear indication of the changes that have been made to the manuscript. Authors must also upload a file as a supporting document in which original and revised text are compared using the 'Track Changes' facility. Figures should be uploaded in separate files and at sufficient resolution (see section on Preparation of data). All obsolete files of the previous version should be deleted from the revised submission. If a paper that is returned to the authors for amendment is not resubmitted in revised form within one month after a minor revision and two months after a major revision, the paper will be regarded as withdrawn, unless

request for an extension is made to the Editor dealing with the paper. Any revised version received after this deadline will be treated as a new, resubmitted manuscript.

### Resubmitted Manuscripts

If extensive revision is required, including a requirement for additional experimental work or analysis, the manuscript may be rejected but with a recommendation to resubmit a substantially improved manuscript. A resubmitted manuscript should be submitted as a new manuscript and should include a letter outlining the revisions that have been made in response to the major criticisms of the original article. The article will be treated as a new submission, will typically be edited by the Editor who dealt with the original manuscript, but may not necessarily be reviewed by the same referees.

### Manuscript Preparation and Support

Manuscripts must be written in English (consistent with either UK or US spelling) and should be clear and grammatically correct. Authors whose native language is not English should consider having their manuscript read by an English speaking colleague or have it professionally edited. This is not a mandatory step, but may help to ensure that the academic content of your paper is fully understood by journal editors and reviewers. Language editing does not guarantee that your manuscript will be accepted for publication but manuscripts that are not written in clear and legible English may be rejected without peer review.

There are a number of pre-submission language editing services available. FEMS is pleased to partner with Peerwith to provide editorial support for authors wishing to submit papers to FEMS journals. Peerwith is a platform for author services, connecting academics seeking support for their work with the relevant FEMS expert who can help out not only with language editing, but also translation, visuals, consulting, or anything else authors need to get their research submission-ready. You can use the following link to request a Peerwith quotation within 24 hours without obligation.

Here are other useful links to help you through the manuscript submission process:

Online submission platform

Editorial Office: e-mail [femsle.editorialoffice@oup.com](mailto:femsle.editorialoffice@oup.com)

Production Office: e-mail [femsre.production@oup.com](mailto:femsre.production@oup.com)

FEMS Journal Portal

FEMS Society

## Manuscript Format and Structure

FEMS politely requests you compile your manuscript in MS Word and save it as a .doc or .docx file (not a .pdf file), using the following layout.

Main Document incorporating: Title page, the abstract, main text in one single column with references located at the end.

A separate file containing all Tables, each on a separate page.

A separate file containing Figure legends.

Individually uploaded Figures, ensuring that each figure is at least twice the size it will be in the published document. Include the figure number (e.g. Fig. 1) and optionally including the figure legend well outside the boundary of the space occupied by the figure. ScholarOne Manuscripts will combine your separately uploaded figure files and the manuscript main body into one online file. Please ensure that you upload the figures only once.

Include page and line numbering (continuous).

The right-hand margin justification should be switched off. Artificial word breaks at the end of lines must be avoided.

If you do not use MS Word then save in MS Word format in the word processor that you use. Rich text (.rtf) format may also be used.

Use standard fonts (Arial, Times New Roman, Symbol, Helvetica, Times). In your Word document, on the Tools menu, click 'Options', select the Embed TrueType fonts check box and then click the 'Save' tab.

Excessively long reference lists should be avoided. Repetition of information in the text and illustrations should not occur.

Please also include the files for any other supplementary material to be submitted with your manuscript (this material is published online only). It is recommended that authors spell-check all files before submission.

Please use short, simple filenames when saving all your documents, and avoid special characters, punctuation marks, symbols (such as '&'), and spaces.

Other helpful hints are: (i) use the Tab key once for paragraph indents; (ii) where possible use Times New Roman for the text font and the Symbol option for

any Greek and special characters; (iii) use the word processing formatting features to indicate Bold, Italic, Greek, Maths, Superscript and Subscript characters; (iv) please avoid using the underline feature: for emphasis use bold; (v) clearly identify unusual symbols and Greek letters; (vi) where there might be confusion, differentiate between the letter 'O' and zero, and the letters 'l' and '1' and the number '1'.

#### *Title, authors and keywords*

The manuscript should have a concise, appealing title with six informative keywords. The title and choice of keywords is crucial in making your article more discoverable via online search engines. The title should not contain undefined abbreviations. For genes/proteins, please state the full name if known, with the accepted abbreviation in brackets.

The name, full postal address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address of one corresponding author should be provided in a footnote. FEMS journals only accept one corresponding author.

*Abstract.* This should be a single paragraph of less than 200 words and must be intelligible without reference to the full paper. Ideally, references are not cited. Abbreviations should be avoided, but if necessary, they must be defined the first time they are used in the main text. Do not abbreviate the genus in the title, keywords, or at first use in the Abstract and Introduction. It is important that the abstract contains a clearly stated hypothesis, a concise description of the approach and a clear statement of the major novel findings of the study and their significance.

*Introduction.* This should place the work in the context of current knowledge, should indicate the novelty of the study and should conclude with a clear statement of the aims and objectives, but should not contain a summary of the results.

*Materials and Methods.* Sufficient detail must be provided to allow the work to be repeated. Suppliers of materials used with and a brief address should be mentioned if this might affect the results. Specific reference must be given for reagents (e.g. plasmids, strains, antibodies) that were not generated in the study.

*Results.* Presentation of data is described below.

*Discussion.* This should not simply repeat the Results. Combined Results and Discussion sections are encouraged when appropriate.

*Acknowledgements.* These can include funding agencies, colleagues who assisted with the work or the preparation of the manuscript and those who

contributed materials or provided unpublished data.

*References.* If you use EndNote and Reference Manager to facilitate referencing citations (not required for submission), this journal's style is available for use. If an automatic referencing system has been used in the preparation of the paper, the references must not be left embedded in the final text file submitted. For reference style please consult mini style checklist.

#### Article types

Research Letters describe original experimental work leading to significant advances within the scope of the journal. Repetition of information in the text and illustrations should not occur. Priority is given to short papers. The main body text (including abstract but excluding the title page, references in text and list, and figure legends) should not exceed 4,000 words. References should be kept to a minimum and a combined total of six figures and tables are permitted. If the paper exceeds these guidelines, the manuscript will be returned for shortening without review unless the authors have provided compelling reasons for the exceptional length.

MiniReviews are concise articles reviewing topics of current interest or controversial aspects of subjects within the scope of the journal. Articles providing new concepts, critical appraisals and speculation are welcomed. The style for MiniReviews is the same as for research letters, except that the maximum length of the main body text is 4,500 words with a maximum combined total of six figures and tables. There is no rigid format for MiniReviews but they should generally include an Abstract and a brief Introduction in which the background to the article is presented.

The remainder of the text should be arranged under a single, or a maximum two levels of subheading, finishing with a Conclusion or Outlook section that highlights the novelty of the MiniReview.

Current Opinion, Perspective and Commentary articles enable authors to present their views on important topical issues, to discuss new conceptual approaches and to consider, critically, future developments. Their format is flexible but follows that of MiniReviews. Manuscripts should be concise with the main body text preferably shorter than 1,500 words. Manuscripts must be preceded by a pre-submission enquiry to the relevant Section Editor.

Letters to the Editor are brief communications focusing on an article that has been published in the journal within the previous six months. They should focus on

some aspect(s) of the paper that is, in the author’s opinion, incorrectly stated or interpreted, controversial, misleading or in some other way worthy of comment. All Letters to the Editor must address a scientific issue in an objective fashion, should have fewer than 1,000 words (main body text), and will be externally refereed.

Please choose the manuscript type ‘Letter to the Editor’ when uploading through the online submission system. If acceptable for publication, they will be offered to the original authors for comment.

Article Type	Word Limit*	Max. number of Figures & Tables
Research Letter	4,000	6 in total
Current Opinion, Perspective and Commentary	1,500	2 in total
MiniReview	4,500	6 in total
Letter to the Editor	1,000	0

\* Word limit is including the abstract but excluding the title page, references and figure legends.

## Funding

Details of all funding sources for the work in question should be given in a separate section entitled 'Funding'. This should appear before the 'Acknowledgements' section. The following rules should be followed:

The sentence should begin: ‘This work was supported by ...’

The full official funding agency name should be given, i.e. ‘the National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health’ or simply 'National Institutes of Health' not ‘NCI’ (one of the 27 subinstitutions) and not ‘NCI at NIH’ (full RIN-approved list of UK funding agencies)

Grant numbers should be complete and accurate and provided in brackets as follows: ‘[grant number ABX CDXXXXXX]’

Multiple grant numbers should be separated by a comma as follows: ‘[grant numbers ABX CDXXXXXX, EFX GHXXXXXX]’

Agencies should be separated by a semi-colon (as well as the word 'and' before the last funding agency)

Where individuals need to be specified for certain sources of funding the following text should be added after the relevant agency or grant number 'to [author initials]'. An example is given here: 'This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [P50 CA098252 and CA118790 to R.B.S.R.] and the Alcohol & Education Research Council [HFY GR667789].

### Crossref Funding Data Registry

In order to meet your funding requirements authors are required to name their funding sources, or state if there are none, during the submission process. For further information on this process or to find out more about CHORUS, visit the CHORUS initiative.

### Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements and details of non-financial support must be included at the end of the text before references and not in footnotes. Personal acknowledgements should precede those of institutions or agencies. Please note that acknowledgement of funding bodies and declarations regarding conflicts of interest (if any Col exists) should be given in separate 'Funding' and 'Conflicts of interest' sections, respectively.

### Journal Copyediting Style

This journal follows our standard Oxford SciMed style. By following the mini style checklist you can ensure that your manuscript follows the major style points.

### Reproducibility of results and statistical tests

Authors should state how many times experiments were repeated and whether the average or representative results are shown. Statistical variability should be indicated statistically wherever possible as part of, but not in place of, a proper statistical analysis. If results are expressed as percentages, the absolute value corresponding to 100% must be stated. Avoid values with unjustified numbers of significant figures; in most cases three significant figures is consistent with the accuracy attained in microbiological experiments.

Results of statistical tests should be presented wherever possible to provide evidence for conclusions reached. Statistical information must be presented concisely to illuminate the results, but not to dominate them. The tests used should be briefly described in the Materials and Methods section. Details of the diagnostic checks made for the assumptions of the statistical tests and for the validity of any transformations used should be stated clearly.

### Description of New Species

Papers describing the isolation of new bacterial strains or species will be considered for publication providing they meet the standards specified for such descriptions as outlined in: B.J. Tindall, R. Rosselló-Móra, H.-J. Busse, W. Ludwig, and P. Kämpfer, Notes on the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes, *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2010 60: 249-266 (see <http://ijs.microbiologyresearch.org/content/journal/ijsem/10.1099/ijms.0.016949-0>), and that the strain is deposited into two recognized public culture collections. In the submission letter the authors should state why the description merits publication in a FEMS journal, rather than publication in a specialized taxonomic journal such as *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* or *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*.

#### Nomenclature, abbreviations and units

Authors should follow internationally accepted rules and conventions. Authors should provide evidence for the thorough identification of new isolates and use the most recent acceptable name. For genes/proteins, please state the full name if known, with the accepted abbreviation in brackets.

### Bacteria and Archaea

The spelling of bacterial names should follow the list of Prokaryotic Names with Standing in Nomenclature: <http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/>. If there is reason to use a bacterial name that does not have a valid standing in nomenclature, it should be enclosed in quotation marks (e.g. "Bacillus mesentericus") to denote that the name is not validly published.

### Fungi

The authors should use recently accepted binomials controlled by the

International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (<http://www.bgbm.fu-berlin.de/iapt/nomenclature/code/SaintLouis/0000St.Luistitle.htm>). Scientific names of yeasts can be found in: *The Yeasts: a Taxonomic Study*, 4th ed. (C. P. Kurtzman and J.W. Fell, ed., Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1998). Taxonomic texts should cite nomenclatural authorities at the first time a name is mentioned. For abbreviation of authors' names, see <http://www.indexfungorum.org/AuthorsOfFungalNames.htm>. All taxa should be italicized.

### Viruses

Names used for viruses should be those approved by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV): <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ICTVdb/>. If desired, synonyms may be added parenthetically when the name is first mentioned. Approved generic (or group) and family names may also be used.

### Enzymes

For enzymes, please use the Recommended Name (or Common Name) and the Enzyme Commission (EC) number (as defined by the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (IUBMB) upon first use in the body text and on first use in the Abstract. Do not use the EC number in titles or subheadings though they may be appropriate to use in a table, for example, if a large number of enzymes are being assayed for. Names and numbers should be taken from the latest iteration of the BRENDA database ([www.brenda-enzymes.org](http://www.brenda-enzymes.org)). For not yet classified enzymes, use a 'preliminary BRENDA supplied EC number'. As an example, "thiosulfate dehydrogenase (EC 1.8.2.2)" or, if preliminary "EC 1.8.2.B2". It may at times be appropriate to list older/alternative names of the enzyme if there is much inconsistency in the literature as this will help readers to find your content – for instance in the case of the above mentioned enzyme, "thiosulfate oxidising enzyme" and "tetrathionate synthase" are still in use in some papers.

### Genes

Genetic nomenclature should essentially follow the recommendations of Demerec et al. (*Genetics* (1966) 54: 61–76), and those given in the instructions to authors of the *Journal of Bacteriology and Molecular and Cellular Biology* (January

issues). Biochemical compounds. Consult the European Journal of Biochemistry or the Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (<http://www.chem.qmw.ac.uk/iubmb/>).

## Abbreviations

Abbreviations should only be used as an aid to the reader and their use should be strictly limited. Define each abbreviation and introduce it in parentheses the first time it is used: e.g. 'cultures were grown in Eagle minimal essential medium (MEM)'. Eliminate abbreviations that are not used at least six times in the manuscript. In addition to abbreviations to the international system of units of measurements, other common units (e.g., bp, kb, Da), chemical symbols for the elements, and the standard biochemical abbreviations (see Eur. J. Biochem.) should be used without definition. When referring to the 16S and 18S ribosomal RNA gene, please ensure that this is correctly referred to as the 16S (or 18S) rRNA gene, and not 16S rDNA. Standard chemical symbols and trivial names or their symbols (folate, Ala, Leu, etc.) may be used for terms that appear in full in the neighbouring text. Abbreviations other than those recommended by the IUPAC-IUB (Biochemical Nomenclature and related Documents, 1978) should be used only when a case can be made for necessity, such as in tables and figures.

### Reporting numerical data

The international system of units (SI) should be used; mL is acceptable in place of cm<sup>3</sup> for liquid measures. The form for units is mg mL<sup>-1</sup> and not mg/mL, parentheses should be used to improve clarity, e.g. mL (g dry wt soil)<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. The prefixes k, m, μ, n, and p should be used in combination with the standard units for reporting length, weight, volume and molarity for 10<sup>3</sup>, 10<sup>-3</sup>, 10<sup>-6</sup>, 10<sup>-9</sup>, and 10<sup>-12</sup>, respectively. Use mg mL<sup>-1</sup> or mg g<sup>-1</sup> instead of the ambiguous ppm. Units of temperature are presented as follows: 37°C or 324 K.

## Figures and Illustrations

Please create your figures and illustrations with reference to the OUP guidelines.

Please be aware that the requirements for online submission and for reproduction in the journal are different: (i) for online submission and peer review, please upload your figures either embedded in the word processing file or separately

as low-resolution images (.jpg, .tif, .gif or. eps); (ii) for reproduction in the journal, you will be required after acceptance to supply high-resolution .tif files. Minimum resolutions are 300 d.p.i. for colour or tone images, and 600 d.p.i. for line drawings. We advise that you create your high-resolution images first as these can be easily converted into low-resolution images for online submission.

Figures will not be relettered by the publisher. The journal reserves the right to reduce the size of illustrative material. Any photomicrographs, electron micrographs or radiographs must be of high quality. Wherever possible, photographs should fit within the print area or within a column width.

For useful information on preparing your figures for publication, go to <http://cpc.cadmus.com/da>

*Colour figures are encouraged and free of charge.*

### Specifications

Figures should be supplied at twice their final size with wide margins. A single column figure is 80 mm, two-thirds page width is 114 mm and two-column width is 168 mm.

For line art:

All lines should be drawn at 1.5 point (0.5 mm wide), broken line styles may be used to differentiate multiple plot lines if desired

Letters and numbers should be 16 point (capitals 4 mm high) non- serif (e.g. Windows: Arial, Trebuchet MS, Verdana, Century Gothic and Lucida Sans Unicode; Mac and Unix: Helvetica, Lucida, Avant Garde).

Symbols in the figure itself should be 3 mm in diameter. Lines drawn to accompany the points should not go through hollow symbols.

Numbers used as axis labels should have minimum significant figures; amounts less than unity must carry a preceding zero (e.g. 0.5 not .5).

Larger composite figures may be designed to occupy two columns when this can achieve an overall saving in space. The character, line and symbol sizes should be adjusted accordingly to achieve the same sizes on the printed page.

Magnification should be indicated where appropriate by inclusion of a bar marker.

Photographs of electropherograms, etc., in which there is poor contrast may be better replaced by line drawings, but in this case the photographs should be

submitted for scrutiny by the Editor.

If photographs have been digitally processed to enhance their quality, this should be stated.

Figure legends should consist of a preliminary sentence constituting a title, followed by a brief description of the way the particular experiment was carried out, and any other necessary description of symbols or lines. All abbreviations must be defined.

### Graphical Abstract and One-Sentence Summary

FEMS uses Graphical Abstracts to promote articles via email content, social media, newsletters and online search results.

*"Graphical Abstract"*: image which is not necessarily linked to the original manuscript, but either summarizes the text, fits to the text and is very appealing or is one of the key images/figures/graphs of the article.

*Tips*: Keep it simple / Short legible text / Avoid saturated and distracted colours / Image resolution should be a minimum of 300dpi and the aspect ratio should be 4:3 (i.e. the ratio of the width to height should be 4:3) to make sure that your image is optimized in our 'click and expand' feature.

*"One-sentence Summary"*: the main manuscript title should be followed by a one-sentence summary (typically no more than 30 words) describing the most important message of the article. When assigned to an issue, this summary will appear immediately under the title of each article in the online Table of Contents and will be free to all readers, but will not be published in print. This short, non-technical summary should comprise information on the novelty of the review, and the language used should be understood by a non-specialist.

Please check that your Graphical Abstract is clear and eye-catching. This will help to attract readers to your publication. For examples of how this is displayed please visit <https://fems-microbiology.org/fems-activities/journals/graphical-abstract-one-sentence-summary>.

### Videos

Authors may now include videos with their submissions which will be published in the online article (ie: no longer as supplementary data). *Please see below for further details*. Authors must also submit a still image that can be used in

the print article. Videos should be numbered in the order they appear in the text. All figures and videos require a legend. The total playback time for the two videos should not exceed 5 minutes.

*Recording.* Use the highest possible resolution when creating the original. The use of a standard thoracoscopic camera (digital preferred) fixed on the table and manipulated by an assistant gives excellent magnification and high quality recording. Filming with a head-mounted recording camera is not recommended.

*Audio.* To improve the understanding of the procedure described, short and clear commentaries can be incorporated into the video file. Commentaries should supplement the complete description given in the legend of the video.

*Format.* Videos can be submitted in any standard format: wmv, avi, mpeg, mov, etc. Videos must be of high quality and must have a minimum size of 640x480 (preferably higher as we will convert all videos to MP4 to ICVTS specifications). The aspect ratio can be: 4:3 or 16:9.

For full video preparation guidelines, go to <http://www.oxfordjournals.org/en/help/faq/authors/video-and-media-guidelines.html>

## Tables

All tables should be on separate pages and accompanied by a title, and footnotes where necessary. The tables should be numbered consecutively using Arabic numerals. Units in which results are expressed should be given in parentheses at the top of each column and not repeated in each line of the table. Ditto signs are not used. Avoid overcrowding the tables and the excessive use of words. The format of tables should be in keeping with that normally used by the journal; in particular, vertical lines, coloured text and shading should not be used. Please be certain that the data given in tables are correct.

## Permission to Reproduce Figures and Extracts

Permission to reproduce copyright material, for print and online publication in perpetuity, must be cleared and if necessary paid for by the author; this includes applications and payments to DACS, ARS and similar licensing agencies where appropriate. Evidence in writing that such permissions have been secured from the rights-holder must be made available to the Editors. It is also the author's

responsibility to include acknowledgements as stipulated by the particular institutions. Please note that obtaining copyright permission could take some time. Oxford Journals can offer information and documentation to assist authors in securing print and online permissions: please see the Guidelines for Authors section at [http://www.oxfordjournals.org/access\\_purchase/rights\\_permissions.html](http://www.oxfordjournals.org/access_purchase/rights_permissions.html). Should you require copies of this then please contact the Editorial office of the journal in question or the Oxford Journals Rights department on [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com).

### Third-Party Content in Open Access papers

If you will be publishing your paper under an Open Access licence but it contains material for which you **do not** have Open Access re-use permissions, please state this clearly by supplying the following credit line alongside the material:

*Title of content*

*Author, Original publication, year of original publication, by permission of [rights holder]*

*This image/content is not covered by the terms of the Creative Commons licence of this publication. For permission to reuse, please contact the rights holder.*

### **Supporting Information and Supplementary Data**

Electronic Supporting Information may be included, free of charge, to support and enhance your manuscript with, e.g. supporting applications, movies, animation sequences, high-resolution images, background datasets or sound clips, for example. Supporting information will be subject to critical review and this facility should be used prudently. Supporting information should not contain data that are critical to the paper. Supporting files will be published, subject to editorial approval, online alongside the electronic version of your article. Authors should submit the Supporting Information at the same time as the manuscript, but in separate file(s). Select 'Supplemental files', or 'MultiMedia' for the file designation when uploading through the online submission system. Upload a separate .doc or .docx file listing concise and descriptive captions for each file uploaded as Supporting Information. Please indicate that you have uploaded these files in your cover letter and state clearly whether they are intended for eventual online publication as Supporting Information, or are for peer review purposes only.

Supporting material that is not essential for inclusion in the full text of the manuscript, but would nevertheless benefit the reader, can be made available by the publisher online, linked to the online manuscript. The material should not be essential to understanding the conclusions of the paper, but should contain data that is additional or complementary and directly relevant to the article content. Such information might include more detailed methods, extended data sets/data analysis, or additional figures. Select 'Supplemental files', or 'MultiMedia' for the file designation when uploading through the online submission system. Upload a separate .doc or .docx file listing concise and descriptive captions for each file uploaded as Supporting Information. Please indicate that you have uploaded these files in your cover letter and state clearly whether they are intended for eventual online publication as Supporting Information, or are for peer review purposes only.

It is standard practice for appendices to be made available online as supplementary data. All text and figures must be provided in suitable electronic formats. All material to be considered as supplementary data must be submitted at the same time as the main manuscript for peer review. It cannot be altered or replaced after the paper has been accepted for publication, and will not be edited. Please indicate clearly all material intended as supplementary data upon submission and name the files e.g. 'Supplementary Figure 1', 'Supplementary Data', etc. Also ensure that the supplementary data is referred to in the main manuscript where necessary, for example as '(see Supplementary data)' or '(see Supplementary Figure 1)'.

### **Copyright and Licence Including Open Access**

It is a condition of publication for all Oxford Journals that authors either assign copyright or grant an exclusive licence to Oxford University Press or the sponsoring Society. This ensures that all of the rights needed for publication of the article are in place and that any requests from third parties to reproduce content from the Journal is handled efficiently and consistently by OUP, enabling the content to be as widely disseminated as possible. No article will be published unless the signed licence has been received at Oxford Journals. Upon receipt of accepted manuscripts at Oxford Journals authors will be asked to complete an online copyright licence to publish form, and the Publisher will provide further instruction at that point. Any queries about the licence form should be sent as soon as possible to [Rights and Permissions](#) so

that any issues can be resolved quickly and to avoid any delay in publication.

Details of how to sign the licence using our online system will be sent after acceptance.

Work submitted for publication must be original, previously unpublished, and not under consideration for publication elsewhere. If previously published figures, tables, or parts of text are to be included, the copyright-holder's permission must have been obtained prior to submission. For more information on how to obtain permissions, please consult Rights and Permissions.

## Oxford Open

*FEMS Microbiology Letters* authors have the option to publish their paper under the Oxford Open initiative; whereby, for a charge, their paper will be made freely available online immediately upon publication. After your manuscript is accepted the corresponding author will be required to accept a mandatory license to publish agreement. As part of the licensing process you will be asked to indicate whether or not you wish to pay for open access. If you do not select the open access option, your paper will be published with standard subscription-based access and you will not be charged.

Oxford Open articles are published under Creative Commons licences: Creative Commons Attribution licence (CC-BY), Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial licence (CC-BY-NC) or Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives licence (CC-BY-NC). Please click [here](#) for more information about the Creative Commons licences.

Charges for CC BY, CC BY-NC/CC BY-NC-ND:

Regular charge: £2354/ \$3531/ €2866

## Proofs

Authors are sent page proofs by Email. These should be checked immediately. Corrections, as well as answers to any queries should be returned to the publishers within 3 working days (further details are supplied with the proof). It is the author's responsibility to check proofs thoroughly.

## Advance Access

Advance Access articles are published online soon after they have been

accepted for publication, in advance of their appearance in the main journal. Appearance in Advance Access constitutes official publication, and the Advance Access version can be cited by a unique DOI (Digital Object Identifier). When an article appears in an issue, it is removed from the Advance Access page.

Articles posted for Advance Access have been copyedited and typeset and any corrections included. This is before they are paginated for inclusion in a specific issue of the journal. Once an article appears in an issue, both versions of the paper continue to be accessible and citable.